arXiv:0905.0635v17 [math.NT] 22 Aug 2009

Preprint, `arXiv:0905.0635`

ON UNIVERSAL SUMS OF POLYGONAL NUMBERS

Zhi-Wei Sun

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
zwsun@nju.edu.cn
`http://math.nju.edu.cn/∼zwsun`

Abstract. For $m = 3, 4, \ldots$, the polygonal numbers of order m are given by $p_m(n) = (m-2)\binom{n}{2}+n$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$. For positive integers a, b, c and $i, j, k \geqslant 3$ with $\max\{i, j, k\} \geqslant 5$, we call the triple (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) universal if for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ there are nonnegative integers x, y, z such that $n = ap_i(x)+bp_j(y)+cp_k(z)$. We show that there are only 95 candidates for universal triples (two of which are (p_4, p_5, p_6) and (p_3, p_4, p_{27})), and conjecture that they are indeed universal triples. For many triples (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) (including $(p_3, 4p_4, p_5), (p_4, p_5, p_6)$ and (p_4, p_4, p_5)), we prove that any nonnegative integer can be written in the form $ap_i(x) + bp_j(y) + cp_k(z)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also show some related new results on ternary quadratic forms, one of which states that any nonnegative integer $n \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ can be written in the form $x^2 + 3y^2 + 24z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, we pose several related conjectures one of which states that for any $m = 3, 4, \ldots$ each natural number can be expressed as $p_{m+1}(x_1) + p_{m+2}(x_2) + p_{m+3}(x_3) + r$ with $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, m-3\}$.

1. Introduction

Polygonal numbers are nonnegative integers constructed geometrically from the regular polygons. For $m = 3, 4, \ldots$ those m-gonal numbers (or polygonal numbers of order m) are given by

$$p_m(n) = (m-2)\binom{n}{2} + n = \frac{(m-2)n^2 - (m-4)n}{2} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly,

$$p_m(0) = 0,\ p_m(1) = 1,\ p_m(2) = m,\ p_m(3) = 3m-3,\ p_m(4) = 6m-8.$$

Key words and phrases. Polygonal numbers, ternary quadratic forms, representations of integers, primes.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E25; Secondary 11A41, 11D85, 11E20, 11P32.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) of China.

Note also that

$$p_3(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2},\ p_4(n) = n^2,\ p_5(n) = \frac{3n^2-n}{2},\ p_6(n) = 2n^2 - n.$$

Lagrange's theorem asserts that every $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the sum of four squares, and Gauss proved in 1796 a conjecture of Fermat which states that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the sum of three triangular numbers (this follows from the Gauss-Legendre theorem (see, e.g., [G, pp. 38-49] or [N96, pp. 17-23]) which asserts that any positive integer not of the form $4^k(8l+7)$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ is the sum of three squares). Fermat's claim that each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as the sum of m polygonal numbers of order m was completely proved by Cauchy in 1813. Legendre showed that every sufficiently large integer is the sum of five polygonal numbers of order m. The reader is referred to Nathanson [N87] and Chapter 1 of [N96, pp. 3-34] for details.

For $m = 3, 4$ clearly $p_m(\mathbb{Z}) = \{p_m(x) :\ x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ coincides with $p_m(\mathbb{N}) = \{p_m(n) :\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. However, for $m = 5, 6, \dots$ we have $p_m(-1) = m - 3 \in p_m(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus p_m(\mathbb{N})$. Those $p_m(x)$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ are called *generalized m-gonal numbers*. The generalized pentagonal numbers play an important role in combinatorics due to the following celebrated result of Euler (see, e.g., Berndt [B, p. 12]):

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^k q^{p_5(k)} \quad (|q| < 1),$$

where $p(n)$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ is the number of ways to write n as the sum of positive integers (repetition allowed) and $p(0)$ is regarded as 1.

As usual, for $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ we adopt the notation

$$A_1 + \cdots + A_n := \{a_1 + \cdots + a_n :\ a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n\}.$$

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $i, j, k \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$, we define

$$R(ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) = ap_k(\mathbb{N}) + bp_k(\mathbb{N}) + cp_k(\mathbb{N}). \tag{1.2}$$

If $R(ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) = \mathbb{N}$, then we call the triple (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) (or the sum $ap_i + bp_j + cp_k$) *universal* (over $\mathbb{N}$). When $ap_i(\mathbb{Z}) + bp_j(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_k(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{N}$, we say that the sum $ap_i + bp_j + cp_k$ is *universal over* $\mathbb{Z}$.

In 1862 Liouville (cf. [D99b, p. 23]) determined all those universal (ap_3, bp_3, cp_3) (with $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant c$):

$$(p_3, p_3, p_3),\ (p_3, p_3, 2p_3),\ (p_3, p_3, 4p_3),\ (p_3, p_3, 5p_3),$$
$$(p_3, 2p_3, 2p_3),\ (p_3, 2p_3, 3p_3),\ (p_3, 2p_3, 4p_3).$$

In 2007 Z. W. Sun [S07] suggested the determination of those universal (ap_3, bp_3, cp_4) and (ap_3, bp_4, cp_4), and this was completed via a series of papers by Sun and his coauthors (cf. [S07], [GPS] and [OS]). Here is the list of universal triples (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) with $\{i, j, k\} = \{3, 4\}$:

$$\begin{gathered}(p_3,p_3,p_4),\ (p_3,p_3,2p_4),\ (p_3,p_3,4p_4),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_4),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_4),\\ (p_3,2p_3,3p_4),\ (p_3,2p_3,4p_4),\ (2p_3,2p_3,p_4),\ (2p_3,4p_3,p_4),\ (2p_3,5p_3,p_4),\\ (p_3,3p_3,p_4),\ (p_3,4p_3,p_4),\ (p_3,4p_3,2p_4),\ (p_3,6p_3,p_4),\ (p_3,8p_3,p_4),\\ (p_3,p_4,p_4),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_4),\ (p_3,p_4,3p_4),\ (p_3,p_4,4p_4),\ (p_3,p_4,8p_4),\\ (p_3,2p_4,2p_4),\ (p_3,2p_4,4p_4),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_4),\ (2p_3,p_4,2p_4),\ (4p_3,p_4,2p_4).\end{gathered}$$

For almost universal triples (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) with $\{i, j, k\} = \{3, 4\}$, the reader may consult the recent paper [KS] by Kane and Sun.

In this paper we study universal sums $ap_i + bp_j + cp_k$ with $\max\{i, j, k\} \geqslant 5$. Note that polygonal numbers of order $m \geqslant 5$ are more sparse than squares and triangular numbers.

Observe that

$$p_6(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq p_6(\mathbb{Z}) = p_3(\mathbb{Z}) = p_3(\mathbb{N}) \tag{1.3}$$

since

$$p_6(x) = x(2x-1) = p_3(2x-1) \text{ and } p_3(x) = p_6\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) = p_6\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right).$$

It is interesting to determine all those universal sums $ap_k + bp_k + cp_k$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Note that the case $k = 6$ is equivalent to the case $k = 3$ which was handled by Liouville (cf. [D99b, p. 23]). That $p_5 + p_5 + p_5$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$ (equivalently, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write $24n + 3 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ with x, y, z all relatively prime to 3), was first realized by R. K. Guy [Gu]. However, as H. Pan told the author, one needs the following identity

$$(1^2+1^2+1^2)^2(x^2+y^2+z^2) = (x-2y-2z)^2+(y-2x-2z)^2+(z-2x-2y)^2 \tag{1.4}$$

(which is a special case of Réalis' identity [D99b, p. 266]) to show that if x, y, z are not all divisible by 3 then $9(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = u^2 + v^2 + w^2$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with u, v, w not all divisible by 3.

Now we state our first theorem.

Theorem 1.1. (i) *Suppose that $ap_k + bp_k + cp_k$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, where $k \in \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, \dots\}$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \leqslant b \leqslant c$. Then k is equal to 5 and (a, b, c) is among the following 20 triples:*

$$\begin{gathered}(1,1,k)\ (k \in [1,10] \setminus \{7\}),\\ (1,2,2),\ (1,2,3),\ (1,2,4),\ (1,2,6),\ (1,2,8),\\ (1,3,3),\ (1,3,4),\ (1,3,6),\ (1,3,7),\ (1,3,8),\ (1,3,9).\end{gathered}$$

(ii) *The sums*

$$p_5+p_5+2p_5,\ p_5+p_5+4p_5,\ p_5+2p_5+2p_5,$$
$$p_5+2p_5+4p_5,\ p_5+p_5+5p_5,\ p_5+3p_5+6p_5$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.1. As a supplement to Theorem 1.1, we conjecture that $p_5+bp_5+cp_5$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$ if the ordered pair (b,c) is among

$$(1,3),\ (1,6),\ (1,8),\ (1,9),\ (1,10),\ (2,3),$$
$$(2,6),\ (2,8),\ (3,3),\ (3,4),\ (3,7),\ (3,8),\ (3,9).$$

There are no universal sums $ap_k+bp_k+cp_k$ over $\mathbb{N}$ with $k>3$. So we are led to study mixed sums of polygonal numbers. Though there are infinitely many positive integers which cannot be written as the sum of three squares, our computation suggests the following somewhat surprising conjecture which has been verified up to 10^6.

Conjecture 1.1. *Any* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *can be written as the sum of two squares and a pentagonal number; also, we can write each* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *as the sum of a triangular number, an even square and a pentagonal number, and write* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *as the sum of a square, a pentagonal number and a hexagonal number.*

Remark 1.2. It seems that neither the proof of the Gauss-Legendre theorem nor Cauchy's proof (cf. [N87, N96]) of Fermat's assertion on polygonal numbers can be adapted to yield a proof of Conjecture 1.1. We also conjecture that any positive integer $n\neq 18$ can be written as the sum of a triangular number, an odd square and a pentagonal number, and as the sum $p_4(x)+p_5(y)+p_6(-z)$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{N}$.

Inspired by Cantor's digonal method in his proof of the uncountability of the set of real numbers, here we pose a general conjecture on sums of polygonal numbers.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let* $m\geqslant 3$ *be an integer. Then every* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *can be written in the form*

$$p_{m+1}(x_1)+p_{m+2}(x_2)+p_{m+3}(x_3)+r,$$

where $x_1,x_2,x_3\in\mathbb{N}$ *and* $r\in\{0,\ldots,m-3\}$. *Consequently, for any* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *there are* $x_1,x_2,x_3\in\mathbb{N}$ *and* $x_4,\ldots,x_m\in\{0,1\}$ *such that* $n=p_{m+1}(x_1)+\cdots+p_{2m}(x_m)$.

Remark 1.3. Conjecture 1.2 is very challenging and it might remain open for many years. The conjecture in the case $m=3$ is equivalent to the university of $p_4+p_5+p_6$ (over $\mathbb{N}$). For $m=4,5,6,7,8,9,10$ we have verified Conjecture 1.2 for $n\leqslant 5\times 10^5$. We also guess that for any $m=3,4,\ldots$ all sufficiently large integers have the form $p_m(x)+p_{m+1}(y)+p_{m+2}(z)$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{N}$; for example, our computation via Mathematica suggests that 387904 is the largest integer not of the form $p_{20}(x)+p_{21}(y)+p_{22}(z)$.

For $m=3,4,\ldots$, clearly

$$8(m-2)p_m(x)+(m-4)^2=((2m-4)x-(m-4))^2.$$

Thus, for given $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $i,j,k\in\{3,4,\ldots\}$, that $n=ap_i(x)+bp_j(y)+cp_k(z)$ for some $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ implies a representation of certain $nq+r$ (with q only depending on i,j,k and r depending on a,b,c,i,j,k) by a ternary quadratic form.

By a deep theory of ternary quadratic forms, Jones and Pall [JP] proved that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we can write $24n+1$ in the form $x^2+48y^2+144z^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$; equivalently this says that $2p_4+6p_4+p_5$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. They also showed that for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we can express $24n+5$ as $x^2+y^2+3z^2$ with x,y,z odd and $x\equiv y \pmod{12}$; this is equivalent to the universality of $p_3+3p_4+2p_5$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Another result of Jones and Pall [JP] states that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we can write $24n+29$ in the form $x^2+y^2+3z^2$ with x,y,z odd and $x-y\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$; this is equivalent to the universality of $p_3+6p_3+p_8$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Though we are unable to prove Conjecture 1.1, we can show the following result.

Theorem 1.2. (i) *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $6n+1$ can be expressed in the form $x^2+3y^2+24z^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, there are $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$n=(2x)^2+p_5(y)+p_6(z)=p_4(2x)+p_5(y)+p_3(2z-1),$$

i.e., n can be expressed as the sum of a triangular number, an even square and a generalized pentagonal number.

(ii) *The sums $p_4+p_4+p_5$ and $p_4+2p_4+p_5$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

(iii) *For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we can write $12n+4$ in the form $x^2+3y^2+3z^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $2\nmid x$, and write $12n+4$ and $12n+8$ in the form $3x^2+y^2+z^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $2\nmid x$. Also, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the number $12n+5$ can be expressed as $x^2+y^2+(6z)^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, $3p_3+3p_4+p_5$ and $p_3+p_4+p_{11}$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

(iv) *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $r\in\{1,9\}$. If $20n+r$ is not a square, then there are $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $20n+r=5x^2+5y^2+4z^2$. Consequently, if $20(n+1)+1$ is not a square then $n=p_3(x)+p_3(y)+p_{12}(z)$ for some $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$.*

(v) *For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $7n+4$ not squarefree, there are $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $n=p_3(x)+p_4(y)+p_9(z)$.*

(vi) *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large, then we can write it in the form $p_3(x)+p_4(y)+p_{18}(z)$ with $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can also express n as $p_3(x)+p_4(y)+p_{27}(z)$ with $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under the GRH (generalized Riemann hypothesis), $p_3+p_4+p_{18}$ and $p_3+p_4+p_{27}$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 1.4. (i) Let $\delta \in \{0,1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We conjecture that if $n \geqslant \delta$ then $n = x^2+y^2+p_5(z)$ for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv \delta \pmod 2$. We also conjecture that for $r \in \{1,9\}$ there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $20n+r = 5x^2+5y^2+z^2$ with $z \equiv \delta \pmod 2$ unless $r=1$, and $\delta = n = 0$ or ($\delta = 1$ & $n=3$). (ii) Our proof of the first assertion in Theorem 1.2(iii) has the same flavor with Fermat's method of infinite descent.

As for the determination of those universal sums $p_i+p_j+p_k$ over $\mathbb{N}$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $p_i+p_j+p_k$ is universal over $\mathbb{N}$ with $3 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k$ and $k \geqslant 5$. Then (i,j,k) is among the following 31 triples:*

$$\begin{gathered}(3,3,5),\ (3,3,6),\ (3,3,7),\ (3,3,8),\ (3,3,10),\ (3,3,12),\ (3,3,17),\\ (3,4,5),\ (3,4,6),\ (3,4,7),\ (3,4,8),\ (3,4,9),\ (3,4,10),\ (3,4,11),\\ (3,4,12),\ (3,4,13),\ (3,4,15),\ (3,4,17),\ (3,4,18),\ (3,4,27),\\ (3,5,5),\ (3,5,6),\ (3,5,7),\ (3,5,8),\ (3,5,9),\ (3,5,11),\ (3,5,13),\\ (3,7,8),\ (3,7,10),\ (4,4,5),\ (4,5,6).\end{gathered}$$

Our following conjecture has been verified up to $500,000$.

Conjecture 1.3. *If (i,j,k) is one of the 31 triples listed in Theorem 1.3, then $p_i+p_j+p_k$ is universal over $\mathbb{N}$.*

Besides Theorem 1.1, we also can show that if $3 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k$ and there is a unique nonnegative integer not in $R(p_i,p_j,p_k)$ then (i,j,k) is among the following 29 triples and the unique number in $\mathbb{N} \setminus R(p_i,p_j,p_k)$ is not more than 468.

$$\begin{gathered}(3,3,9),\ (3,3,11),\ (3,3,13),\ (3,3,14),\ (3,3,16),\ (3,3,20),\ (3,4,14),\\ (3,4,19),\ (3,4,20),\ (3,4,22),\ (3,4,29),\ (3,5,10),\ (3,5,12),\ (3,5,14),\\ (3,5,15),\ (3,5,19),\ (3,5,20),\ (3,5,22),\ (3,5,23),\ (3,5,24),\ (3,5,32),\\ (3,6,7),\ (3,6,8),\ (3,7,9),\ (3,7,11),\ (3,8,9),\ (3,8,10),\ (4,5,7),\ (4,5,8).\end{gathered}$$

We also have the following conjecture: If (i,j,k) is among the above 29 triples, then there is a unique nonnegative integer not in $R(p_i,p_j,p_k)$. In particular, $R(p_3,p_5,p_{32}) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{31\}$ and $R(p_4,p_5,p_8) = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{19\}$.

Here is another theorem on universal sums over $\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ *with* $\max\{a,b,c\}>1$*, and let* $i,j,k\in\{3,4,\ldots\}$ *with* $i\leqslant j\leqslant k$ *and* $\max\{i,j,k\}\geqslant 5$*. Suppose that* (ap_i,bp_j,cp_k) *is universal* (*over* $\mathbb{N}$) *with* $a\leqslant b$ *if* $i=j$*, and* $b\leqslant c$ *if* $j=k$*. Then* (ap_i,bp_j,cp_k) *is on the following list of* 64 *triples:*

$$\begin{gathered}
(p_3,p_3,2p_5),\ (p_3,p_3,4p_5),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_3,4p_5),\ (p_3,3p_3,p_5),\\
(p_3,4p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,4p_3,2p_5),\ (p_3,6p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,9p_3,p_5),\ (2p_3,3p_3,p_5),\\
(p_3,2p_3,p_6),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_6),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_7),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_7),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_8),\\
(p_3,2p_3,2p_8),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_9),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_9),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{10},\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{12}),\\
(p_3,2p_3,2p_{12}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{15}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{16}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{17}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{23}),\\
(p_3,p_4,2p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,2p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,4p_5),\ (p_3,3p_4,p_5),\\
(p_3,4p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,4p_4,2p_5),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_5),\ (2p_3,p_4,2p_5),\ (2p_3,p_4,4p_5),\\
(2p_3,3p_4,p_5),\ (3p_3,p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_6),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_6),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_7),\\
(2p_3,p_4,p_7),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_8),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_8),\ (p_3,3p_4,p_8),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_8),\\
(2p_3,3p_4,p_8),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_9),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_9),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_{10}),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_{12}),\\
(p_3,2p_4,p_{17}),\ (2p_3,p_4,p_{17}),\ (p_3,p_5,4p_6),\ (p_3,2p_5,p_6),\ (p_3,p_5,2p_7),\\
(p_3,p_5,4p_7),\ (p_3,2p_5,p_7),\ (3p_3,p_5,p_7),\ (p_3,p_5,2p_9),\ (2p_3,p_5,p_9),\\
(p_3,2p_6,p_8),\ (p_3,p_7,2p_7),\ (p_4,2p_4,p_5),\ (2p_4,p_5,p_6).
\end{gathered}$$

Remark 1.5. It is known (cf. [S07, Lemma 1]) that

$$\{p_3(x)+p_3(y):\ x,y\in\mathbb{N}\}=\{2p_3(x)+p_4(y):\ x,y\in\mathbb{N}\}. \tag{1.5}$$

So, for $c\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k\in\{3,4,\ldots\}$, we have $R(p_3,p_3,cp_k)=R(2p_3,p_4,cp_k)$ and $p_3(\mathbb{Z})+p_3(\mathbb{Z})+cp_k(\mathbb{Z})=2p_3(\mathbb{Z})+p_4(\mathbb{Z})+cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$.

Here we pose the following conjecture which has been verified up to 10^5.

Conjecture 1.4. *All the* 64 *triples listed in Theorem* 1.4 *are universal over* $\mathbb{N}$.

We are unable to show the universality over $\mathbb{N}$ for any of the $31+64=95$ triples in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, but we can prove that many of them are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. In light of (1.3) and (1.5), when we consider the universality of $ap_i+bp_j+cp_k$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, we may ignore those triples (ap_i,bp_j,cp_k) with $(ap_i,bp_j)=(2p_3,p_4)$ or $6\in\{i,j,k\}$. This reduces the 31+64 triples to $(31-4)+(64-16)=75$ essential triples. In Theorem 1.2 we obtain that the sums

$$p_3+p_4+p_5,\ p_3+4p_4+p_5,\ p_4+p_4+p_5,\ p_4+2p_4+p_5,\ p_3+p_4+p_{11}$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. So there are $75-5=70$ essential triples left.

A positive ternary quadratic form $Q(x,y,z)=ax^2+by^2+cz^2+dyz+exz+fxy$ with $a,b,c,d,e,f\in\mathbb{Z}$ is said to be *regular* if it represents an integer n (i.e., $Q(x,y,z)=n$ for some $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$) if and only if it locally represents n (i.e., for any prime p the equation $Q(x,y,z)=n$ has integral solutions in the p-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$; in other words, for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ the congruence $Q(x,y,z)\equiv n \pmod m$ is solvable over $\mathbb{Z}$). A full list of positive regular ternary quadratic forms was given in [JKS]. There are totally 102 regular forms $ax^2+by^2+cz^2$ with $1\leqslant a\leqslant b\leqslant c$ and $\gcd(a,b,c)=1$; for each of them those positive integers not represented by the form were described explicitly in Dickson [D39, pp.112-113].

By applying the theory of ternary quadratic forms and using some lemmas of ourselves, we are able to deduce the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *If* (ap_i,bp_j,cp_k) *is one of the following* 35 *essential triples, then* $ap_i+bp_j+cp_k$ *is universal over* $\mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{gathered}(p_3,p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,p_3,2p_5),\ (p_3,p_3,4p_5),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_3,4p_5),\\ (p_3,3p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,4p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,4p_3,2p_5),\ (p_3,6p_3,p_5),\ (2p_3,3p_3,p_5),\\ (p_3,p_4,2p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,3p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,2p_5),\ (2p_3,3p_4,p_5),\\ (3p_3,p_4,p_5),\ (p_3,p_5,p_5),\ (p_3,p_3,p_7),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_7),\ (p_3,p_4,p_7),\\ (p_3,p_5,2p_7),\ (p_3,p_3,p_8),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_8),\ (p_3,p_4,p_8),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_8),\\ (p_3,2p_4,p_8),\ (p_3,3p_4,p_8),\ (2p_3,3p_4,p_8),\ (p_3,p_5,p_8),\ (p_3,p_5,p_9),\\ (p_3,p_3,p_{10}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{10}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{10}),\ (p_3,p_7,p_{10}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{12}).\end{gathered}$$

For the following $70-35=35$ remaining essential triples (ap_i,bp_j,cp_k), we have not yet proved the universality of $ap_i+bp_j+cp_k$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{gathered}(p_3,9p_3,p_5),\ (p_3,2p_4,4p_5),\ (p_3,4p_4,2p_5),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_7),\ (p_3,p_4,2p_7),\\ (p_3,p_5,p_7),\ (p_3,p_5,4p_7),\ (p_3,2p_5,p_7),\ (3p_3,p_5,p_7),\ (p_3,p_7,2p_7),\\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_8),\ (p_3,p_7,p_8),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_9),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_9),\ (p_3,p_4,p_9),\\ (p_3,p_4,2p_9),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_9),\ (p_3,p_5,2p_9),\ (2p_3,p_5,p_9),\ (p_3,p_5,p_{11}),\\ (p_3,p_3,p_{12}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{12}),\ (p_3,2p_3,2p_{12}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{13}),\ (p_3,p_5,p_{13}),\\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{15}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{15}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{16}),\ (p_3,p_3,p_{17}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{17}),\\ (p_3,p_4,p_{17}),\ (p_3,2p_4,p_{17}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{18}),\ (p_3,2p_3,p_{23}),\ (p_3,p_4,p_{27}).\end{gathered}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&n=p_3(x)+p_4(y)+p_{17}(z)\\ \iff\ &120n+184=15(2x+1)^2+120y^2+(30z-13)^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&n = p_3(x) + 2p_3(y) + p_{23}(z)\\ \iff &168n + 424 = 21(2x+1)^2 + 42(2y+1)^2 + (42z-19)^2.\end{aligned}$$

However, when $w^2 \equiv 13^2 \pmod{120}$ we may not have $w \equiv \pm 13 \pmod{30}$ since $23^2 \equiv 13^2 \pmod{120}$; similarly, when $w^2 \equiv 19^2 \pmod{168}$ we may not have $w \equiv \pm 19 \pmod{42}$ since $19^2 \equiv 5^2 \pmod{168}$. Thus it seems quite difficult to show that the sums

$$p_3 + p_3 + p_{17},\ p_3 + 2p_3 + p_{17},\ p_3 + p_4 + p_{17},\ p_3 + 2p_4 + p_{17},\ p_3 + 2p_3 + p_{23}$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, let alone their universality over $\mathbb{N}$.

The study of some of the 36 remaining essential triples leads us to raise the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.5. *If $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is not a square, then sufficiently large integers relatively prime to a can be written in the form $p + ax^2$ with p a prime and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the set $S(a)$ given by*

$$\{n > 1 : \gcd(a,n) = 1,\ \textit{and}\ n \neq p + ax^2\ \textit{for any prime}\ p\ \textit{and}\ x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \tag{1.6}$$

is finite. In particular,

$$S(6) = \emptyset,\quad S(12) = \{133\},\quad S(30) = \{121\},$$
$$S(3) = \{4,\ 28,\ 52,\ 133,\ 292,\ 892,\ 1588\},\ S(18) = \{187,\ 1003,\ 5777,\ 5993\},$$
$$S(24) = \{25,\ 49,\ 145,\ 385,\ 745,\ 1081,\ 1139,\ 1561,\ 2119,\ 2449,\ 5299\}.$$

Also, we have the precise values of $N(a) = \max S(a)$ for some other a:

$$\begin{aligned}&N(5) = 270086,\ N(7) = 150457,\ N(8) = 39167,\ N(10) = 18031,\\ &N(11) = 739676,\ N(13) = 1949323,\ N(14) = 55379,\ N(15) = 12692,\\ &N(17) = 3061757,\ N(19) = 2601529,\ N(20) = 55751,\ N(21) = 43171,\\ &N(22) = 1331743,\ N(23) = 3389177,\ N(26) = 653189,\\ &N(27) = 418528,\ N(28) = 150457,\ N(29) = 7824041,\\ &N(31) = 11663221,\ N(32) = 1224647.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.6. (i) According to [D99a, p. 424], in 1752 Goldbach asked whether any odd integer $n > 1$ has the form $p + 2x^2$, and $5777, 5993 \in S(2)$ was found by M. A. Stern and his students in 1856. It seems that $S(2) = \{5777, 5993\}$. (ii) In [S09, Conjecture 1.3] the author conjectured that if $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is neither an odd square nor twice an even square then sufficiently large integers relatively prime to a can be written in the form $p + ax(x+1)/2$ with p a prime and x an integer.

Theorem 1.6. *Under Conjecture 1.5, the sums* $p_3+2p_4+p_9$ *and* $p_3+p_4+p_{13}$ *are universal over* $\mathbb{Z}$.

Motivated by the author's conjecture on sums of primes and triangular numbers (cf. [S09]), we propose the following new conjecture on sums of primes and polygonal numbers.

Conjecture 1.6. *Let* a *be a positive integer and* $m\in\{5,6,7,\ldots\}$. *Then all sufficiently large integers relatively prime to* a *can be written in the form* $p+ap_m(x)$ *with* p *a prime and* $x\in\mathbb{N}$, *if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

(i) *The squarefree part of the odd part of* a *does not divide* $m-2$.

(ii) $a=2$ *and* $m\not\equiv 2\pmod 8$, *or* $a=4$ *and* $4\nmid m$ *and* $m\not\equiv 2\pmod{16}$.

(iii) $a=8$, *and either* $4\mid m$ *or* $(8\mid m-2\ \&\ 32\nmid m-2)$.

(iv) $a=2^\alpha$ *with* $\alpha\in\{4,6,8,\ldots\}$, *and* $4\nmid m$ *and* $8\nmid m-2$.

(v) $a=2^\alpha$ *with* $\alpha\in\{5,7,9,,\ldots\}$, *and either* $4\mid m$ *or* $m\equiv 10\pmod{16}$.

Here is a more concrete conjecture for the case $a=2$.

Conjecture 1.7. (i) *Any positive odd number* $n>1$ *other than* 135, 345, 539 *can be written in the form* $p+2p_5(x)=p+3x^2-x$ *with* p *a prime and* $x\in\mathbb{N}$; *moreover we can require that* $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ *if* $n>16859$, $p\equiv 3\pmod 4$ *if* $n>27695$, $p\equiv 1\pmod 6$ *if* $n>12845$, *and* $p\equiv 5\pmod 6$ *if* $n>15865$. *In general, if* $m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ *has no prime divisor greater than* 3, *then for any* $r\in\mathbb{Z}$ *with* $\gcd(r,m)=1$ *all sufficiently large odd integers can be written in the form* $p+2p_5(x)$ *with* $x\in\mathbb{N}$, *where* p *is a prime with* $p\equiv r\pmod m$.

(ii) *We can express a positive odd integer* $n>1$ *in the form* $p+2p_8(x)=p+6x^2-4x$ *with* p *a prime and* $x\in\mathbb{N}$, *unless*

$$n\in\{51,\ 185,\ 377,\ 471,\ 555,\ 2865\};$$

furthermore, we can require $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ *if* $n>159007$, $p\equiv 3\pmod 4$ *if* $n>152595$, $p\equiv 1\pmod 6$ *if* $n>159007$, *and* $p\equiv 5\pmod 6$ *if* $n>72121$.

(iii) *For*

$$m=6,7,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,$$

the largest odd integer $s(m)$ *not of the form* $p+2p_m(x)$ *(with* p *a prime and* $x\in\mathbb{N}$*) is as follows:*

$$s(6)=9897\ ,s(7)=4313,\ s(9)=81147,\ s(11)=26405,$$
$$s(12)=78375,\ s(13)=383357,\ s(14)=7327,\ s(15)=106449,$$
$$s(16)=83927,\ s(17)=15969,\ s(19)=434003,\ s(20)=48169.$$

Remark 1.7. In [S09] the author conjectured that any odd integer $n>3$ can be written in the form $p+2p_3(x)$ with p a prime and x a positive integer.

Our following conjecture, together with Theorems 1.3-1.4, Conjectures 1.3-1.4 and (1.2), describes all universal sums $p_i + p_j + p_k$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Conjecture 1.8. *For* $i, j, k \in \{3, 4, \dots\} \setminus \{6\}$ *with* $i \leqslant j \leqslant k$ *and* $k \geqslant 5$, $p_i + p_j + p_k$ *is universal over* $\mathbb{Z}$ *but not universal over* $\mathbb{N}$, *if and only if* (i, j, k) *is among the following list:*

$$\begin{gathered}(3,3,9),(3,3,11),(3,3,13),(3,3,14),(3,3,15),(3,3,16),(3,3,20),(3,3,23),\\(3,3,24),(3,3,25),(3,3,26),(3,3,29),(3,3,32),(3,3,33),(3,3,35),\\(3,4,14),(3,4,16),(3,4,19),(3,4,20),(3,4,21),(3,4,22),\\(3,4,23),(3,4,24),(3,4,26),(3,4,29),(3,4,30),\\(3,4,32),(3,4,33),(3,4,35),(3,4,37),\\(3,5,k)\ (k \in [10,68] \setminus \{11,13,26,34,36,44,48,56,59,60,64\}),\\(3,7,k)\,(k \in [7,54] \setminus \{8,10,42,51\}),\ (3,8,k)\,(k \in [8,16]),\\(3,9,k)\,(k \in [10,17] \setminus \{13\}),\ (3,10,k)\,(k = 11,\dots,22),\\(3,11,k)\,(k \in [12,23] \setminus \{18,19\}),\ (3,12,k)\,(k \in [13,27] \setminus \{19,21,22,25\});\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}(4,4,7),(4,4,8),(4,4,10),(4,5,5),(4,5,k)\,(k \in [7,37]),\\(4,7,k)\ (k \in [7,20] \cup \{23,25,26,27,29,38,41,44\}),\\(4,8,k)\ (k \in [8,22] \setminus \{13,14,20\}),\ (4,9,k)\,(k = 9,\dots,15),\\(4,10,11),(4,10,12),(4,10,14);\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}(5,5,k)\ (k \in [5,71] \setminus \{6,42,45,50,56,58,59,61,64,67,69,70\}),\\(5,7,k)\,(k \in [7,41] \setminus \{17\}),\ (5,8,k)\,(k \in [8,28] \setminus \{14,21,25\}),\\(5,9,k)\,(k \in [9,41] \setminus \{26\}),\ (5,10,k)\,(k = 10,\dots,24),\\(5,11,k)\,(k \in [11,32] \setminus \{15,18,25,26,29\}),\\(5,12,k)\,(k \in [12,76] \setminus \{30,35,36,42,49,52,53,55,56,64,71,73,74\}),\\(5,13,k)\,(k \in [13,33] \setminus \{30\});\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}(7,7,8),\ (7,7,9),\ (7,7,11),\ (7,8,k)\,(k \in [8,14]),\ (7,9,k)\,(k \in [9,15]),\\(7,10,k)\,(k \in [11,19] \setminus \{13,18\}),\ (7,11,k)\,(k \in [11,19] \setminus \{14,18\}),\\(7,12,k)\,(k \in [13,23] \setminus \{18,21,22\}),\ (7,13,14),\ (7,13,15),\ (7,13,17).\end{gathered}$$

Remark 1.8. We also have a conjecture describing all those universal sums $ap_i + bp_j + cp_k$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. There are only finitely many such sums (including $18p_3 + p_4 + p_5$, $p_4 + p_5 + 20p_5$ and $p_8 + 3p_8 + p_{10}$), the full list of them is available from `http://math.nju.edu.cn/∼zwsun`.

Via the theory of quadratic forms, we can prove many of those $p_i+p_j+p_k$ with (i, j, k) listed in Conjecture 1.9 are indeed universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Here we include few interesting cases in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *The sums*

$$p_3+p_7+p_7,\ p_4+p_5+p_5,\ \ p_4+p_4+p_8,\ p_4+p_8+p_8,\ \ p_4+p_4+p_{10},\ p_5+p_5+p_{10}$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$ *but not universal over* $\mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.9. Let $\delta \in \{0,1\}$ and $n \in \{\delta,\delta+1,\delta+2,\ldots\}$. We conjecture that $n = x^2 + p_5(y) + p_5(z)$ for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv \delta \pmod 2$.

Among those triples (i,j,k) listed in Conjecture 1.9, the one with $i+j+k$ maximal is $(5,12,76)$. By Conjecture 1.9 the sum $p_5+p_{12}+p_{76}$ should be universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $n = p_5(x)+p_{12}(y)+p_{76}(z)$ if and only if

$$4440(n+9)+2657 = 185(6x-1)^2+888(5y-2)^2+120(37z-18)^2.$$

It seems quite difficult to prove that for any $n = 9,10,\ldots$ the equation

$$4440n+2657 = 185x^2+888y^2+120z^2 \tag{1.7}$$

has integral solutions.

In Sections 2 and 3 we shall show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively. Theorem 1.5 will be proved in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. We will give two auxiliary results in Section 6 and show Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 in Sections 7-8 respectively.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1(i). As $k \geqslant 4$, we can easily see that only the following numbers

$$p_k(0)=0,\ p_k(1)=1,\ p_k(-1)=k-3,\ p_k(2)=k,\ p_k(-2)=3k-8$$

can be elements of $p_k(\mathbb{Z})$ smaller than 8. By $1 \in ap_k(\mathbb{Z})+bp_k(\mathbb{Z})+cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$ (and $a \leqslant b \leqslant c$), we get $a=1$. Since $2,3 \in p_k(\mathbb{Z})+bp_k(\mathbb{Z})+cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$, if $b>2$ then $k=5$ and $b=3$.

Case 1. $b=1$.

Observe that

$$p_5(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{\frac{3n^2 \pm n}{2}:\ n=0,1,\ldots\right\} = \{0,1,2,5,7,12,15,22,26,35,40,\ldots\}$$

and thus $p_5(\mathbb{Z})+p_5(\mathbb{Z})$ does not contain 11. If $k=5$, then c cannot be greater than 11. It is easy to verify that

$$25 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z})+p_5(\mathbb{Z})+7p_5(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } 43 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z})+p_5(\mathbb{Z})+11p_5(\mathbb{Z}).$$

So $c \in [1,10] \setminus \{7\}$ when $k = 5$.

Now assume that $k \neq 5$. Then $3 \notin p_k(\mathbb{Z})+p_k(\mathbb{Z})$. By $3 \in p_k(\mathbb{Z})+p_k(\mathbb{Z})+cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$, we must have $c \leqslant 3$. Observe that $7 \notin p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + p_4(\mathbb{Z})$, $14 \notin p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_4(\mathbb{Z})$ and $6 \notin p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_4(\mathbb{Z})$. So $k \geqslant 7$. It is easy to verify that

$$(p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + p_k(\mathbb{Z})) \cap [4,7] = \{k-3,\ k-2,\ k-1,\ k\} \cap [4,7].$$

Thus, if $c = 1$ then $k = 7$. But $10 \notin p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + p_7(\mathbb{Z})$, so $c \in \{2,3\}$. Observe that

$$(p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_k(\mathbb{Z})) \cap [5,7] = \{k-3,\ k-2,\ k-1,\ k\} \cap [5,7].$$

If $c = 2$, then $k \in \{7,8\}$. But $23 \notin p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_7(\mathbb{Z})$ and $14 \notin p_8(\mathbb{Z}) + p_8(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_8(\mathbb{Z})$, therefore $c = 3$. Clearly

$$(p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_k(\mathbb{Z})) \cap [6,7] = \{k-3,\ k-2,\ k\} \cap [6,7].$$

So $k = 9$. As $8 \notin p_9(\mathbb{Z}) + p_9(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_9(\mathbb{Z})$, we get a contradiction.

Case 2. $b \in \{2,3\}$.

For $b = 2,3$ it is easy to see that $b+6 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + bp_5(\mathbb{Z})$. If $k = 5$, then $b + 6 \in p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + bp_5(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_5(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence $c \leqslant b + 6$. Observe that

$$18 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 5p_5(\mathbb{Z}), \quad 27 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 7p_5(\mathbb{Z})$$

and $19 \notin p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_5(\mathbb{Z}) + 5p_5(\mathbb{Z})$.

Now suppose that $k \neq 5$. Then we must have $b = 2$. If $k = 4$, then by $5 \in p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ we get $c \leqslant 5$. But, for $c = 2,3,4,5$ the set $p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_4(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ does not contain 7, 10, 14, 10 respectively. So $k \geqslant 7$. Note that $c(k-3) \geqslant 2(k-3) > 7$ and

$$(p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_k(\mathbb{Z})) \cap [0,7] \subseteq \{0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ k-3,\ k-1,\ k\} + \{0, c\}.$$

By $4 \in p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $k = 7$ or $c \leqslant 4$. By $5 \in p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_k(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $k = 8$ or $c \leqslant 5$. Therefore $c \leqslant 4$, or $c = 5$ and $k = 7$. For $c = 2,3,4,5$ the set $p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_7(\mathbb{Z}) + cp_7(\mathbb{Z})$ does not contain 19, 31, 131, 10 respectively. So $c \in \{2,3,4\}$ and $k \geqslant 8$. Since

$$6, 7 \in \{0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ k-3,\ k-1\} + \{0,\ c\},$$

if $c \in \{2,3\}$ then $c = 3$ and $k \in \{8,10\}$. But $9 \notin p_8(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_8(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_8(\mathbb{Z})$ and $8 \notin p_{10}(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_{10}(\mathbb{Z}) + 3p_{10}(\mathbb{Z})$, so we must have $c = 4$. For $k = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, \ldots$ we have $a_k \notin p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 2p_k(\mathbb{Z}) + 4p_k(\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$a_8 = 13,\ a_9 = 14,\ a_{11} = 9,\ a_{10} = a_{12} = a_{13} = \cdots = 8.$$

So we get a contradiction.

In view of the above we have proved part (i) of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $w = x^2 + my^2$ be a positive integer with $m \in \{2, 5, 8\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can write w in the form $u^2 + mv^2$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and u or v not divisible by* 3.

Proof. Write $x = 3^k x_0$ and $y = 3^k y_0$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where x_0 and y_0 are integers not all divisible by 3. Then $w = 9^k(x_0^2 + my_0^2)$.

Suppose that a and b are integers not all divisible by 3. It is easy to check the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}9(a^2+2b^2) =&(1^2+2\times 2^2)(a^2+2b^2) = (a\pm 4b)^2 + 2(2a\mp b)^2,\\ 9(a^2+5b^2) =&(2^2+5\times 1^2)(a^2+5b^2) = (2a\pm 5b)^2 + 5(a\mp 2b)^2,\\ 9(a^2+8b^2) =&(1^2+8\times 1^2)(a^2+8b^2) = (a\pm 8b)^2 + 8(a\mp b)^2.\end{aligned}$$

For each $k = 1, 2, 4, 8$ we cannot have $a + kb \equiv a - kb \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Thus we can rewrite $9(a^2 + mb^2)$ in the form $c^2 + md^2$ with c or d not divisible by 3.

Applying the above process repeatedly, we finally get that $w = u^2+mv^2$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 3. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.1 in the case $m = 2$ first appeared in the middle of a proof given on page 173 of [JP].

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). Let n be any nonnegative integer. If $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ is relatively prime to 6, then w or $-w$ has the form $6x - 1$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, given $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&n = p_5(x) + bp_5(z) + cp_5(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff &\bar{n} = (6x-1)^2 + b(6y-1)^2 + c(6z-1)^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff &\bar{n} = x^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \text{ for some integers } x, y, z \text{ relatively prime to } 6,\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{n} = 24n + b + c + 1$.

(a) By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $12n+2 = u^2+v^2+w^2$. As $u^2+v^2+w^2 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, exactly one of u, v, w is divisible by 3. Without loss of generality, we assume that $3 \mid u$ and $v, w \not\equiv 0$ (mod 3. Clearly u, v, w cannot have the same parity. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $v \not\equiv u \pmod 2$. Note that both $u\pm v$ and w are relatively prime to 6. Thus, by $24n + 4 = (u + v)^2 + (u - v)^2 + 2w^2$, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n = p_5(x) + p_5(y) + 2p_5(z)$.

(b) Write $24n + 6 = 9^k n_0$ with $k, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $9 \nmid n_0$. Obviously $n_0 \equiv 24n + 6 \equiv 6 \pmod 8$. By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, $n_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2$ for some $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly x_0, y_0, z_0 are not all divisible by 3. If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $3 \nmid x$, then $x' = \varepsilon x \not\equiv 2y + 2z \pmod 3$ for suitable choice of $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, hence by the identity

$$(2y + 2z - x')^2 + (2x' + 2z - y)^2 + (2x' + 2y - z)^2 = 9((x')^2 + y^2 + z^2)$$

(cf. (1.4)) we see that $9(x^2+y^2+z^2)$ can be written as $u^2+v^2+w^2$ with $3 \nmid u$. Thus, there are $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+6 = u^2+v^2+w^2$ and not all of u,v,w are multiples of 3. Since $u^2+v^2+w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, none of u,v,w is divisible by 3. Without loss of generality, we assume that w is even. By $u^2+v^2 \equiv 6 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$, we get that $u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Note that $w^2 \equiv 6-u^2-v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ and hence $4 \nmid w$. So $u,v,w/2$ are all relatively prime to 6. Thus, by $24n+6 = u^2+v^2+4(w/2)^2$, we have $n = p_5(x)+p_5(y)+4p_5(z)$ for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(c) By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, we can write $24n+5 = u^2+s^2+t^2$ with $u,s,t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \equiv t \pmod 2$. Set $v = (s+t)/2$ and $w = (s-t)/2$. Then $24n+5 = u^2+(v+w)^2+(v-w)^2 = u^2+2v^2+2w^2$. As $u^2 \not\equiv 5 \pmod 3$, without loss of generality we may assume that $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Note that $u \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. By Lemma 2.1, $u^2+2v^2 = a^2+2b^2$ for some $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 3. Since $a^2+2b^2 = u^2+2v^2 \equiv 5-2w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, both a and b are relatively prime to 3. By $24n+5 = a^2+2b^2+2w^2$, we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and $2(b^2+w^2) \equiv 5-a^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$. Therefore a,b,w are all relatively prime to 6. So $n = p_5(x)+2p_5(y)+2p_5(z)$ for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(d) By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, $48n+14 = s^2+t^2+(2w)^2$ for some $s,t,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \equiv t \pmod 2$. Set $u = (s+t)/2$ and $v = (s-t)/2$. Then $48n+14 = (u+v)^2+(u-v)^2+4w^2$ and hence $24n+7 = u^2+v^2+2w^2$. As $2w^2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod 3$, without loss of generality we may assume that $3 \nmid u$. Clearly $v^2+2w^2 > 0$ since $u^2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 2.1, $v^2+2w^2 = a^2+2b^2$ for some $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with a or b not divisible by 3. Note that $a^2+2b^2 \equiv 7-u^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and hence both a and b are relatively prime to 3. By $24n+7 = u^2+a^2+2b^2$, we see that $u \not\equiv a \pmod 2$ and hence $u^2+a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Thus $2b^2 \equiv 7-1 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and hence $2 \nmid b$. Since $u^2+a^2 \equiv 7-2b^2 \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, one of u and a is odd and the other is congruent to 2 mod 4. So, there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+7 = u^2+a^2+2b^2 = (6x-1)^2+2(6y-1)^2+(2(6z-1))^2$$

and hence $n = p_5(x)+2p_5(y)+4p_5(z)$.

(e) Recall that $p_3+p_3+5p_3$ is universal as obtained by Liouville. So there are $r,s,t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $3n = p_3(r)+p_3(s)+5p_3(t)$ and hence $24n+7 = u^2+v^2+5w^2$ where $u = 2r+1$, $v = 2s+1$ and $w = 2t+1$. As $5w^2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod 3$, without loss of generality we may assume that $3 \nmid u$. As $u^2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod 8$, we have $v^2+5w^2 > 0$. In light of Lemma 2.1, $v^2+5w^2 = a^2+5b^2$ for some $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with a or b not divisible by 3. Since $a^2+5b^2 \equiv 7-u^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, both a and b are relatively prime to 3. By $a^2+5b^2 = v^2+5w^2 \equiv 6 \pmod 8$, we get $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Note that a,b,u are all relatively prime to 6. So, by $24n+7 = u^2+a^2+5b^2$, we have $n = p_5(x)+p_5(y)+5p_5(z)$ for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(f) By Theorem 1.2(iii), $12n+5=u^2+v^2+(6w)^2$ for some $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$. (This will be proved in the next section without appeal to Theorem 1.1.) It follows that $24n+10=(u+v)^2+(u-v)^2+2(6w)^2$. As $u\not\equiv v\pmod 2$, both $u+v$ and $u-v$ are odd. Since $(u+v)^2+(u-v)^2\equiv 10\equiv 1\pmod 3$, we can write $\{u+v,u-v\}$ as $\{x,3r\}$ with $\gcd(x,6)=1$ and $r\equiv 1\pmod 2$. Thus $24n+10=x^2+9(r^2+8w^2)$. Clearly $x^2\not\equiv 10\pmod 8$ and hence $r^2+8w^2>0$. By Lemma 2.1 with $m=8$, there are integers s and t not all divisible by 3 such that $r^2+8w^2=s^2+8t^2$. Since we cannot have $s+2t\equiv s-2t\equiv 0\pmod 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $s-2t\not\equiv 0\pmod 3$. (If $s+2t\not\equiv 0\pmod 3$ then we use $-t$ instead of t.) Set $y=s+4t$ and $z=s-2t$. Then $y\equiv z\not\equiv 0\pmod 3$. Note also that $y\equiv z\equiv s\equiv r\equiv 1\pmod 2$. So both y and z are relatively prime to 6. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}24n+10=&x^2+9(s^2+8t^2)=x^2+(3s)^2+2(6t)^2\\=&x^2+(y+2z)^2+2(y-z)^2=x^2+3y^2+6z^2.\end{aligned}$$

So $n=p_5(a)+3p_5(b)+6p_5(c)$ for some $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}$.

By the above we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1. *Let $w\in\mathbb{N}$. Then w can be written in the form $3x^2+6y^2$ with $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$, if and only if $3\mid w$ and $w=u^2+2v^2$ for some $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. If $w=3x^2+6y^2$, then

$$w=(1^2+2\times 1^2)(x^2+2y^2)=(x+2y)^2+2(x-y)^2.$$

Now suppose that there are $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $u^2+2v^2=w\equiv 0\pmod 3$. Since $u^2\equiv v^2\pmod 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $u\equiv v\pmod 3$. Set $x=(u+2v)/3$ and $y=(u-v)/3$. Then

$$w=u^2+2v^2=(x+2y)^2+(x-y)^2=3x^2+6y^2.$$

By the above the desired result follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let n be any nonnegative integer. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\ x^2+3y^2=8n+4\ \text{and}\ 2\nmid x\}|\\&=\frac{2}{3}|\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\ x^2+3y^2=8n+4\}|.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Clearly (3.1) is equivalent to $|S_1|=2|S_0|$, where

$$S_r=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2:\ x^2+3y^2=8n+4\ \text{and}\ x\equiv y\equiv r\pmod 2\}.$$

If $(2x,2y)\in S_0$, then $2n+1=x^2+3y^2$ and $x\not\equiv y \pmod 2$, hence $(x+3y,\pm(x-y))\in S_1$ since

$$(x+3y)^2+3(x-y)^2=4(x^2+3y^2)=4(2n+1)=8n+4.$$

On the other hand, if $(u,v)\in S_1$ with $u\equiv\pm v \pmod 4$, then we have

$$u=x+3y,\ v=\pm(x-y) \text{ and } (2x,2y)\in S_0,$$

where $x=(u\pm 3v)/4$ and $y=(u\mp v)/4$. For $(2x,2y),(2s,2t)\in S_0$, if

$$\{(x+3y,x-y),(x+3y,y-x)\}=\{(s+3t,s-t),(s+3t,t-s)\}$$

then $s-t\equiv s+3t=x+3y\equiv x-y\not\equiv y-x \pmod 4$, hence $s-t=x-y$ and $(s,t)=(x,y)$. Therefore we do have $|S_1|=2|S_0|$ as desired. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let n be a nonnegative integer with $6n+1$ not a square. Then, for any $\delta\in\{0,1\}$, we can write $6n+1$ in the form $x^2+3y^2+6z^2$ with $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $x\equiv\delta \pmod 2$.*

Proof. By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, there are $r,s,t\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $12n+2=(2r)^2+s^2+t^2$ and hence $6n+1=2r^2+u^2+v^2$ where $u=(s+t)/2$ and $v=(s-t)/2$. Since $2r^2\not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$, either u or v is not divisible by 3. Without loss of generality we assume that $3\nmid v$. As $2r^2+u^2\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, by Lemma 3.1 we have $2r^2+u^2=3a^2+6b^2$ for some $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$. So, if $v\equiv\delta \pmod 2$, then the desired result follows. Now suppose that $v\not\equiv\delta \pmod 2$.

As $6n+1$ is not a square, $2r^2+u^2>0$. By Lemma 2.1, we can write u^2+2r^2 in the form c^2+2d^2 with c or d not divisible by 3. Since $3\mid u^2+2r^2$, both c and d are relatively prime to 3. Note that $6n+1=c^2+2d^2+v^2$ and $c\equiv\delta \pmod 2$ (since $c\not\equiv v \pmod 2$). As $2d^2+v^2\equiv 1-c^2\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, by Lemma 3.1 we can write $2d^2+v^2$ in the form $3y^2+6z^2$ with $y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$. So the desired result follows. □

Remark 3.1. We conjecture that the condition $6n+1$ is not a square (in Lemma 3.3) can be replaced by $n\geqslant 1-\delta$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $w=x^2+4y^2$ be a positive integer with $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then we can write w in the form u^2+4v^2 with $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$ and u or v not divisible by 5.*

Proof. Write $x=5^kx_0$ and $y=5^ky_0$ with $k\in\mathbb{N}$, where x_0 and y_0 are integers not all divisible by 5. Then $w=5^{2k}(x_0^2+4y_0^2)$. If a and b are integers not all divisible by 5, then we cannot have $a+4b\equiv a-4b\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, hence by the identity

$$5(a^2+4b^2)=(1^2+4\times 1^2)(a^2+5b^2)=(a\pm 4b)^2+4(a\mp b)^2$$

we can rewrite $5(a^2+4b^2)$ in the form c^2+5d^2 with c or d not divisible by 5. Applying the process repeatedly, we finally get that $w=u^2+4v^2$ for some $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 5. This ends the proof. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $w = x^2 + 7y^2$ be a positive integer with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $8 \mid w$. Then we can write w in the form $u^2 + 7v^2$ with u, v odd.*

Proof. Write $x = 2^k x_0$ and $y = 2^k y_0$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where x_0 and y_0 are integers not all even. Then $w = 4^k(x_0^2 + 7y_0^2)$. If x_0 or y_0 is even, then $k \geqslant 2$ since $2 \nmid x_0^2 + 7y_0^2$, hence

$$w = 4^{k-2}\left((3x_0 + 7y_0)^2 + 7(x_0 - 3y_0)^2\right)$$

with $3x_0 + 7y_0$ and $x_0 - 3y_0$ odd. Thus it remains to prove that if s and t are odd then $4(s^2 + 7t^2) = u^2 + 7v^2$ for some odd integers u and v.

Let s and t be odd integers. Then s is congruent to t or $-t$ mod 4. Without loss of generality we assume that $s \equiv t \pmod 4$. Then

$$4(s^2 + 7t^2) = \left(\frac{3s + 7t}{2}\right)^2 + 7\left(\frac{s - 3t}{2}\right)^2,$$

where $(3s + 7t)/2 = 3(s + t)/2 + 2t$ and $(s - 3t)/2 = (s + t)/2 - 2t$ are odd integers.

Combining the above we obtain the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) We first show that $6n + 1 = x^2 + 3y^2 + 24z^2$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $6n + 1 = m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $6n + 1 = m^2 + 3 \times 0^2 + 24 \times 0^2$. Now assume that $6n + 1$ is not a square. By Lemma 3.3, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \not\equiv n \pmod 2$ such that $6n + 1 = x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2$. Observe that $y \equiv x + 1 \equiv n \pmod 2$ and

$$x^2 + 3y^2 \equiv (n + 1)^2 + 3n^2 \equiv 2n + 1 \equiv 6n + 1 \pmod 4.$$

So $6z^2 = 6n + 1 - x^2 - 3y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and hence z is even.

By the above, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $6n + 1 = x^2 + 3y^2 + 24z^2$ and hence $24n + 4 = (2x)^2 + (2y)^2 + 96z^2$. Since $w = (2x)^2 + (2y)^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, by Lemma 3.2 we can write w as $u^2 + 3v^2$ with u, v odd. Thus $24n + 4 = u^2 + 3v^2 + 96z^2$. Write u or $-u$ in the form $6x - 1$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and write v or $-v$ in the form $4y - 1$ with $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$24n + 4 = (6x - 1)^2 + 3(4y - 1)^2 + 96z^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_5(x) + p_6(y) + 4p_4(z) = p_3(2y - 1) + p_4(2z) + p_5(x).$$

(ii) By Dickson [D39, pp. 112–113] or [JP] or [JKS], the quadratic form $6x^2 + 6y^2 + z^2$ is regular and it represents any nonnegative integer not in the set

$$\{8l + 3 :\ l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{9^k(3l + 2) :\ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus there are $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+1 = 6r^2+6s^2+t^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid t$. Since $8 \mid 6(r^2+s^2)$, we have $r \equiv s \pmod 2$. If $r \equiv s \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, then $6r^2+6s^2+t^2 \equiv 6+6+1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$. Thus r and s are even. Observe that $\gcd(t,6)=1$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n+1 = 24x^2+24y^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_4(x)+p_4(y)+p_5(z).$$

By Lemma 3.3, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with w odd such that $24n+1 = 6u^2+3v^2+w^2$. Clearly $2 \mid v$. Since $3(2u^2+v^2) \equiv 1-w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, both u and $v/2$ are even. Write $u = 2x$ and $v/2 = 2y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $\gcd(w,6)=1$, we can write w or $-w$ in the form $6z-1$. Thus

$$24n+1 = 6u^2+3v^2+w^2 = 6(2x)^2+3(4y)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = x^2+2y^2+p_5(z) = p_4(x)+2p_4(y)+p_5(z).$$

(iii) (a) A natural number m is said to be 1-*good* (resp., 3-*good*) if m can be written in the form $x^2+3y^2+3z^2$ (resp., the form $3x^2+y^2+z^2$) with x odd. Below we use induction to show that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the number $12n+4$ is 1-good and both $12n+4$ and $12n+8$ are 3-good.

Clearly $4 = 1^2+3\times 1^2$ is both 1-good and 3-good, and $8 = 3\times 1^2+2^2+1^2$ is 3-good.

Now let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and assume that for any $m \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ the number $12m+4$ is 1-good and both $12m+4$ and $12m+8$ are 3-good. We want to show that $12n+4$ is 1-good and $12n+4r$ is 3-good, where $r \in \{1, 2\}$.

By Dickson [D39, pp. 112–113], any nonnegative integer not of the form $3^{2k}(3l+2)$ (resp. $3^{2k+1}(3l+2)$) $(k, l \in \mathbb{N})$ can be written in the form $x^2+3y^2+3z^2$ (resp. $3x^2+y^2+z^2$) with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. So there are integers x_0, y_0, z_0 such that $12n+4 = x_0^2+3y_0^2+3z_0^2$, also $12n+4r = 3x_1^2+y_1^2+z_1^2$ for some $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$. If x_i is even, then y_i and z_i are also even since $4 \mid y_i^2+z_i^2$. If $x_0^2+3y_0^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ (resp., $x_0^2+3z_0^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$), then by Lemma 3.2 we can write $x_0^2+3y_0^2$ (resp. $x_0^2+3z_0^2$) in the form $a_0^2+3b_0^2$ with a_0 and b_0 odd. If $3x_1^2+y_1^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ (resp., $3x_1^2+z_1^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$), then by Lemma 3.2 we can write $3x_1^2+y_1^2$ (resp. $3x_1^2+z_1^2$) in the form $3a_1^2+b_1^2$ with a_1 and b_1 odd.

Now it suffices to assume that $x_i \equiv y_i \equiv z_i \pmod 2$ and $x_i/2 \equiv y_i/2 \equiv z_i/2 \pmod 2$. Note that

$$3n+1 = \left(\frac{x_0}{2}\right)^2+3\left(\frac{y_0}{2}\right)^2+3\left(\frac{z_0}{2}\right)^2, \ 3n+r = 3\left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right)^2+\left(\frac{y_1}{2}\right)^2+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Case 1. $x_i/2, y_i/2, z_i/2$ are odd.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_i/2 \equiv y_i/2 \pmod 4$ (otherwise we may use $-x_i/2$ instead of $x_i/2$).

Suppose $i=0$. Then n is even since $3n+1$ is odd. Clearly,

$$12n+4=\left(\frac{x_0+3y_0}{2}\right)^2+3\left(\frac{x_0-y_0}{2}\right)^2+3z_0^2.$$

As $((x_0+3y_0)/2)^2+3z_0^2 \equiv 4-3((x_0-y_0)/2)^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, by Lemma 3.2 we can write $((x_0+3y_0)/2)^2+3z_0^2=a_0^2+3b_0^2$ with a_0, b_0 odd. So $12n+4=a_0^2+3b_0^2+3((x_0-y_0)/2)^2$ is 1-good.

Now assume $i=1$. Then $3n+r$ is odd. Also,

$$12n+4r=4(3n+r)=3\left(\frac{x_1-y_1}{2}\right)^2+\left(2x_1+\frac{y_1-x_1}{2}\right)^2+z_1^2.$$

As $3((x_1-y_1)/2)^2+z_1^2 \equiv 4-(2x_1+(y_1-x_1)/2)^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, by Lemma 3.2 we can write $3((x_1-y_1)/2)^2+z_1^2=3a_1^2+b_1^2$ with a_1, b_1 odd. So $12n+4r=3a_1^2+b_1^2+((3x_1+y_1)/2)^2$ is 3-good.

Case 2. $x_i/2, y_i/2, z_i/2$ are even.

Assume $i=1$. Then $3n+r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and hence $n=4m+r$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $3n+r=12m+4r<12n+4r$, by the induction hypothesis there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \nmid u$ such that $3n+r=12m+4r=3u^2+v^2+w^2$. Clearly $v \not\equiv w \pmod 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that w is odd. Since $12n+4r=48m+16r=3(2u)^2+(2v)^2+(2w)^2$, we have $3(2u)^2+(2v)^2 \equiv -4w^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 3.2, we can rewrite $3(2u)^2+(2v)^2$ as $3a^2+b^2$ with a, b odd. Thus $12n+4r=3a^2+b^2+(2w)^2$ is 3-good.

By a similar argument, in the case $i=0$ we get that $12n+4$ is 1-good.

(b) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, $12n+5=r^2+s^2+t^2$ for some $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \equiv t \pmod 2$. Note that $12n+5=r^2+2u^2+2v^2$ where $u=(s+t)/2$ and $v=(s-t)/2$. If $u \equiv v \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ then $r^2 \equiv 5 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ which is impossible. Without loss of generality we assume that $3 \nmid v$. Then $r^2+2u^2 \equiv 5-2v^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Since $r^2+2u^2>0$, by Lemma 2.1 we can write r^2+2u^2 in the form a^2+2b^2 with a and b relatively prime to 3. Now we have $12n+5=a^2+2b^2+2v^2$ with a, b, v relatively prime to 3. As $2(b^2+v^2) \equiv 5-a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, we have $b \equiv v \pmod 2$. Choose $c \in \{v, -v\}$ such that $c \equiv b \pmod 3$. Then $b-c=6z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $y=b+c$. Then

$$12n+5=a^2+2b^2+2c^2=a^2+(b+c)^2+(b-c)^2=a^2+y^2+(6z)^2.$$

(c) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (b) there are $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $12n+5=r^2+s^2+36t^2$. Clearly $r \not\equiv s \pmod 2$. Note that $24n+10=(r+s)^2+(r-s)^2+72t^2$.

Since $(r+s)^2+(r-s)^2 \equiv 10 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, one of $r+s$ and $r-s$ is congruent to 3 mod 6, and another one is relatively prime to 6. So there are $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n + 10 = (3(2u + 1))^2 + (6v - 1)^2 + 72t^2$$

and hence $n = 3p_3(u) + 3p_4(t) + p_5(v)$.

Choose $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $36n + 29 = x^2 + y^2 + (6z)^2$. Then $x \not\equiv y \pmod 2$ and

$$72n + 58 = 2x^2 + 2y^2 + 72z^2 = (x + y)^2 + (x - y)^2 + 72z^2.$$

Since $58 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ and $x - y \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Note that $(x - y)^2 \equiv 58 \equiv 7^2 \pmod 9$ and hence $x - y \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{18}$. So, there are $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$72n + 58 = (3(2x_0 + 1))^2 + (18y_0 - 7)^2 + 72z^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x_0) + p_4(y_0) + p_{11}(z).$$

(iv) Assume that $20n + r$ is not a square. By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $20n + r = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. It is easy too see that we cannot have $x^2, y^2, z^2 \not\equiv r \pmod 5$. Without loss of generality we assume that $x^2 \equiv r \pmod 5$. If both y and z are odd, then $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \equiv x^2 + 2 \not\equiv r \pmod 4$. So y or z is even. Without loss of generality we assume that $2 \mid z$. Since $20n + r \neq x^2$, by Lemma 3.4 we can write $y^2 + z^2 = y^2 + 4(z/2)^2$ in the form $s^2 + 4t^2$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 5. As $s^2 + 4t^2 = y^2 + z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, we have $20n + r = x^2+s^2+(2t)^2$ with x, s, t relatively prime to 5. Therefore there are integers u, v, w relatively prime to 5 such that $20n + r = (2u)^2 + (2v)^2 + w^2$. If $(2u)^2, (2v)^2 \not\equiv r \pmod 5$, then $w^2 \equiv r - (-r - r) \pmod 5$ which is impossible. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(2u)^2 \equiv r \pmod 5$. Then $v^2 \equiv -(2v)^2 \equiv w^2 \pmod 5$. Simply let $v \equiv w \pmod 5$ (otherwise we may change the sign of w). Set $a = (4v + w)/5$ and $b = (w - v)/5$. Then $a - b = v$ and $a + 4b = w$. Thus

$$20n+r = 4u^2 +w^2 +4v^2 = 4u^2 +(a+4b)^2 +4(a-b)^2 = 4u^2 +5a^2 +5(2b)^2.$$

Now suppose that $20(n+1)+1$ is not a square. Then there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $20n + 21 = 5u^2 + 5v^2 + 4w^2$. Clearly $u \not\equiv v \pmod 2$, $4w^2 \equiv 21 \equiv 4^2 \pmod 5$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 5$. Write $u + v = 2x + 1$, $u - v = 2y + 1$, and w or $-w$ in the form $5z - 2$, where x, y, z are integers. Then

$$40n+42 = 5(u+v)^2 +5(u-v)^2 +8w^2 = 5(2x+1)^2 +5(2y+1)^2 +8(5z-2)^2$$

and hence $n = p_3(x) + p_3(y) + p_{12}(z)$.

(v) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_9(z) \iff 56n + 32 = 7(2x+1)^2 + 56y^2 + (14z-5)^2.$$

If $56n + 32 = 7x^2 + 56y^2 + z^2$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $7x^2 + z^2 > 0$ and $8 \mid 7x^2 + z^2$, hence by Lemma 3.5 we can write $7x^2 + z^2$ as $7a^2 + b^2$ with a, b odd, and thus $b \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{14}$ since $b^2 \equiv 32 \equiv 5^2 \pmod 7$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_9(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &56n + 32 = x^2 + 7y^2 + 56z^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $7n + 4$ is not squarefree. Then, by [WP, Theorem 3] there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $7n + 4 = u^2 + 7v^2 + 7w^2$ and hence

$$56n + 32 = 8(7n+4) = 8(u^2 + 7v^2) + 56w^2 = (u+7v)^2 + 7(u-v)^2 + 56w^2$$

as desired.

(vi) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{18}(z) \iff 32n + 53 = (2(2x+1))^2 + 32y^2 + (16z-7)^2.$$

On the other hand, if $32n + 53 = x^2 + y^2 + 32z^2$, then we can write $\{x, y\} = \{2u, 2v+1\}$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we have $2 \nmid u$ (since $4u^2 \equiv 53 - (2v+1)^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$) and $2v + 1 \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{16}$ (since $(2v+1)^2 \equiv 53 - 4u^2 \equiv 53 - 4 = 7^2 \pmod{32}$). So

$$\begin{aligned} &n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{18}(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &32n + 53 = \frac{(x+y)^2 + (x-y)^2}{2} + 32z^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &8(8n+13) + 2 = 64n + 106 = x^2 + y^2 + 64z^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{27}(z) \\ \iff &200n + 554 = (5(2x+1))^2 + 200y^2 + (50z-23)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $200n + 554 = x^2 + y^2 + 200z^2$, then $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 554 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and hence $x \equiv y \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, also $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 5$ and hence we can write $\{x, y\} = \{5u, v\}$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{25}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{27}(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &200n + 554 = (x+y)^2 + (x-y)^2 + 200z^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &4(25n+69) + 1 = x^2 + y^2 + 100z^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

By [KS, Corollary 1.9(ii)], the sets

$$\begin{aligned} S =& \{n \in \mathbb{N}:\ n \neq p_3(x) + p_3(y) + 8z^2 \text{ for any } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ =& \{n \in \mathbb{N}:\ 8n + 2 \neq x^2 + y^2 + 64z^2 \text{ for any } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T =& \{n \in \mathbb{N}:\ n \neq x^2 + 2p_3(y) + 25z^2 \text{ for any } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ =& \{n \in \mathbb{N}:\ 4n + 1 \neq x^2 + y^2 + 100z^2 \text{ for any } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

are finite. Our computation suggests that $S = \{5,\ 40,\ 217\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T =& \{5,\ 8,\ 14,\ 17,\ 19,\ 23,\ 33,\ 44,\ 75,\ 77,\ 96,\ 147,\ 180,\ 195, \\ & 203,\ 204,\ 209,\ 222,\ 482,\ 485,\ 495,\ 558,\ 720,\ 854,\ 1175\}. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned in [K09, Section 3] or at the end of [KS, Example 1.1] , under the GRH one can use the argument of Ono and Soundararajan [OnoS] to show that S and T indeed only contain the listed elements. So part (v) of Theorem 1.2 follows.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 3.2. The first assertion in Theorem 1.2(iii) can be further refined. In fact, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$r_1(12n + 4) \geqslant r_0(12n + 4), \text{ and } \bar{r}_1(12n + 4s) \geqslant \bar{r}_0(12n + 4s) \text{ for } s = 1, 2,$$

where

$$r_\delta(m) = |\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 :\ m = x^2 + 3y^2 + 3z^2 \text{ and } x \equiv \delta \pmod 2\}|$$

and

$$\bar{r}_\delta(m) = |\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 :\ m = 3x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \text{ and } x \equiv \delta \pmod 2\}|$$

for $\delta = 0, 1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ *be a nonnegative integer not of the form* $4^k(8l + 7)$ *with* $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then there are* $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that*

$$2n = x^2 + 9(y^2 + 2z^2) = x^2 + 3(y + 2z)^2 + 6(y - z)^2.$$

Proof. By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n = u^2 + v^2 + w^2$. Since $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, exactly one of u, v, w is divisible by

3. Without loss of generality, we assume that $w = 3z$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $\{u+v, u-v\} = \{x, 3y\}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}2n =&(u+v)^2 + (u-v)^2 + 2w^2 = x^2 + 9y^2 + 18z^2\\ =&x^2 + 3(1^2 + 2\times 1^2)(y^2 + 2z^2)\\ =&x^2 + 3((y+2z)^2 + 2(y-z)^2).\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we define

$$E(ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} :\ n \neq ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \text{ for any } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (i) Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}&n = p_3(x) + p_3(y) + 2p_5(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff& 24n + 8 = 3(2x+1)^2 + 3(2y+1)^2 + 2(6z-1)^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff& 24n + 8 = 3x^2 + 3y^2 + 2z^2 \ \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 2 \nmid z\\ \iff& 24n + 8 = 3(x+y)^2 + 3(x-y)^2 + 2z^2 \ \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 2 \nmid z\\ \iff& 12n + 4 = 3x^2 + 3y^2 + z^2 \ \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 2 \nmid z.\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}&n = p_3(x) + 2p_4(y) + p_5(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff& 24n + 4 = 3(2x+1)^2 + 48y^2 + (6z-1)^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\\ \iff& 24n + 4 = 3x^2 + 12y^2 + z^2 \ \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 2 \nmid z.\end{aligned}$$

(If $24n + 4 = 3x^2 + 12y^2 + z^2$ with $2 \nmid z$, then $\gcd(z, 6) = 1$, and also $2 \mid y$ since $3x^2 + z^2 \equiv 3 + 1 = 4 \pmod 8$.) When $24n + 4 = 3x^2 + 3y^2 + z^2$ with z odd, one of x and y is even. Therefore, by the above and Theorem 1.2(iii), both $p_3 + p_3 + 2p_5$ and $p_3 + 2p_4 + p_5$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

By Theorem 1.2(iii), there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \nmid w$ such that $12n+8 = u^2+v^2+3w^2$. Clearly $u \not\equiv v \pmod 2$ and $24n+16 = (u+v)^2+(u-v)^2+6w^2$. Since $(u+v)^2 + (u-v)^2 \equiv 16 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, exactly one of $u+v$ and $u-v$ is divisible by 3. Thus there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n + 16 = 6(2x+1)^2 + (3(2y+1))^2 + (6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = 2p_3(x) + 3p_3(y) + p_5(z).$$

Let $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. As $24n + 6m + 1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$ is not a square, by Lemma 3.4 there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+6m+1 = (2u)^2+3v^2+6w^2$.

Clearly $2 \nmid v$. Since $6w^2 \equiv 6m+1-3v^2 \equiv 2(m-1) \pmod 4$, we have $w \equiv m-1 \pmod 2$. Note that

$$4u^2 \equiv 6m+1-3v^2-6w^2 \equiv -2m-2+2(m-1)^2 \equiv 2m(m+1) \pmod 8.$$

Hence $2 \nmid u$ if $m \in \{1,2\}$, and $2 \mid u$ if $m=3$. Clearly $3 \nmid u$. In the case $m=1$, there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+7 = 4u^2+3v^2+6w^2 = 6(2x)^2+3(2y+1)^2+4(6z-1)^2$$

and hence $n = x^2+p_3(y)+4p_5(z)$. Though $p_3+p_4+4p_5$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, it is not universal over $\mathbb{N}$. When $m=2$, there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+13 = 4u^2+3v^2+6w^2 = 3(2x+1)^2+6(2y+1)^2+4(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x)+2p_3(y)+4p_5(z).$$

In the case $m=3$, both u and w are even, hence there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+19 = 4u^2+3v^2+6w^2 = 3(2x+1)^2+6(2y)^2+4(2(3z-1))^2$$

and thus

$$n = p_3(x)+p_4(y)+2p_8(z).$$

By Theorem 1.2(iii), there are $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \nmid u$ such that $24n+16 = u^2+3v^2+3w^2$. Clearly $v \not\equiv w \pmod 2$. Without loss of generality we assume that $2 \nmid v$ and $2 \mid w$. As $3w^2 \equiv 16-u^2-3v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, $w/2$ is odd. Note that u is relatively prime to 6. Thus there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+16 = 3(2x+1)^2+12(2y+1)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x)+4p_3(y)+p_5(z).$$

By Dickson [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $x^2+3y^2+18z^2$ is regular and

$$E(x^2+3y^2+18z^2) = \{3l+2,\ 9l+6,\ 16l+10,\ 4(16l+10),\ 4^2(16l+10),\dots\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Thus there are $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+22 = u^2+3v^2+18w^2$. Since $u \equiv v \pmod 2$, we have $u^2+3v^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and hence w is odd. As $u^2+3v^2 \equiv 22-18w^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, by Lemma 3.2 there are odd numbers a and b such that $u^2+3v^2 = a^2+3b^2$. Clearly both u and a are relatively prime to 3. So there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+22 = 3b^2+18w^2+a^2 = 3(2x+1)^2+18(2y+1)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 6p_3(y) + p_5(z).$$

By Dickson [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $x^2 + 3y^2 + 2z^2$ is regular and

$$E(x^2 + 3y^2 + 2z^2) = \{4^k(16l + 10) : \ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, for $m \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+8m+4 = u^2 + 3v^2 + 2w^2$. Clearly $4 \mid u^2 + 3v^2$ and hence $2 \mid w$. So $u^2 + 3v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 3.2, $u^2 + 3v^2 = a^2 + 3b^2$ for some odd integers a and b. By Lemma 2.1, $a^2 + 2w^2$ can be written as $s^2 + 2t^2$ with s or t not divisible by 3. Since $24n+8m+4 = a^2+3b^2+2w^2 = s^2+3b^2+2t^2$, we have $4 \mid s^2+3b^2$ and hence $2 \mid t$. In the case $m = 0$, we have $s^2 + 2t^2 \equiv 4 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and hence $s \not\equiv t \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, thus there are $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n + 4 = s^2 + 3b^2 + 2 \times 6^2 \left(\frac{t}{6}\right)^2 = 3(2x + 1)^2 + 72y^2 + (6z - 1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 3p_4(y) + p_5(z).$$

If $m = 1$, then both s and t are relatively prime to 3, so there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n + 12 = s^2 + 3b^2 + 2t^2 = 3(2x + 1)^2 + (6y - 1)^2 + 8(3z - 1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_5(y) + p_8(z).$$

When $m = 2$, we have $s^2 + 2t^2 \equiv 20 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ and hence $t \not\equiv s \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, thus there are $x, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n + 20 = s^2 + 3b^2 + 2t^2 = (3(2x + 1))^2 + 3(2y + 1)^2 + 2(2(3z - 1))^2$$

and hence $n = 3p_3(x) + p_3(y) + p_8(z)$. Though $p_3 + 3p_3 + p_8$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, it is not universal over $\mathbb{N}$.

(ii) By Dickson [D39, pp. 112–113], the quadratic form $6x^2 + 6y^2 + z^2$ is regular and

$$E(6x^2 + 6y^2 + z^2) = \{8l + 3 : \ l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{9^k(3l + 2) : \ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 7 = 6u^2 + 6v^2 + w^2 = 3(u + v)^2 + 3(u - v)^2 + w^2.$$

Clearly $\gcd(w,6)=1$. Since $w^2\equiv 1\not\equiv 7 \pmod 4$, $u+v$ and $u-v$ must be odd. Write $u+v=2x+1$ and $u-v=2y+1$ with $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$. And let z be the integer in the form $(\pm w+1)/6$. Then

$$24n+7=3(2x+1)^2+3(2y+1)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n=p_3(x)+p_3(y)+p_5(z).$$

By Lemma 4.1, there are $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$2(12n+5)=u^2+3v^2+6w^2.$$

As $4\mid u^2+3v^2$, we have $6w^2\equiv 10 \pmod 4$ and hence $2\nmid w$. Thus $u^2+3v^2\equiv 10-6w^2\equiv 4 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 3.2, $u^2+3v^2=a^2+3b^2$ for some odd integers a and b. So there are $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+10=a^2+3b^2+6w^2=(6z-1)^2+3(2x+1)^2+6(2y+1)^2$$

and hence

$$n=p_3(x)+2p_3(y)+p_5(z).$$

By [D27, Theorem IV] or [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $3x^2+3y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(3x^2+3y^2+z^2)=\{9^k(3l+2):\ k,l\in\mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus $24n+10=3u^2+3v^2+w^2$ for some $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$. If $2\nmid w$, then $u\not\equiv v \pmod 2$ and hence $3\equiv 3(u^2+v^2)\equiv 10-w^2\equiv 9 \pmod 4$ which is impossible. So $2\mid w$ and $3(u^2+v^2)\equiv 10\equiv 6 \pmod 4$ which yields that $u\equiv v\equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Since $w^2\equiv 10-3(u^2+v^2)\equiv 4 \pmod 8$, $w/2$ is odd and hence $\gcd(w/2,6)=1$. Thus, for some $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n+10=3(2x+1)^2+3(2y+1)^2+4(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n=p_3(x)+p_3(y)+4p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $3x^2+12y^2+2z^2$ is regular and

$$E(3x^2+12y^2+2z^2)=\{16l+6:\ l\in\mathbb{N}\}\cup\{9^k(3l+1):\ k,l\in\mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus $24n+17=3u^2+12v^2+2w^2$ for some $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $2\nmid u$. As $2w^2\equiv 17-3u^2\equiv 2 \pmod 4$, w is odd. We also have $2\nmid v$ since $12v^2\equiv 17-3u^2-2w^2\equiv 17-5=12 \pmod 8$. Note that $\gcd(w,6)=1$. So, there are $x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+17=3(2x+1)^2+12(2y+1)^2+2(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 4p_3(y) + 2p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2$ is regular and

$$E(2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2) = \{3l + 1 :\ l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{4^k(8l + 7) :\ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus $24n + 5 = 2u^2 + 3v^2 + 6w^2$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $2 \nmid v$. Since $2(u^2 + 3w)^2 \equiv 5 - 3v^2 \equiv 2 \pmod 8$, we have $2 \nmid u$ and $2 \mid w$. As $\gcd(u, 6) = 1$, u or $-u$ is congruent to -1 mod 6. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 5 = 2(6z - 1)^2 + 3(2x + 1)^2 + 24y^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + 2p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $2x^2 + 3y^2 + 48z^2$ is regular and

$$E(2x^2+3y^2+48z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{8l+1, 8l+7, 16l+6, 16l+10, 9^k(3l+1)\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Thus $24n + 5 = 2u^2 + 3v^2 + 48w^2$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $2 \nmid v$, and $2 \nmid u$ since $2u^2 \equiv 5 - 3v^2 \equiv 2 \pmod 8$. Note also that $\gcd(u, 6) = 1$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 5 = 2(6z - 1)^2 + 3(2x + 1)^2 + 48y^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 2p_4(y) + 2p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $6x^2 + 18y^2 + z^2$ is regular and

$$E(6x^2 + 18y^2 + z^2) = \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \{3l + 2,\ 9l + 3\} \cup \{4^k(8l + 5) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.8)$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n + 7 = 6u^2 + 18v^2 + w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid w$ and $6(u^2 + 3v^2) \equiv 7 - w^2 \equiv 6 \pmod 8$. It follows that $2 \nmid u$ and $2 \mid v$. As $\gcd(w, 6) = 1$, either w or $-w$ is congruent to -1 mod 6. Thus, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 7 = 6(2x + 1)^2 + 18(2y)^2 + (6z - 1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = 2p_3(x) + 3p_4(y) + p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $9x^2 + 24y^2 + z^2$ is regular and

$$E(9x^2+24y^2+z^2) = \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \{3l+2, 4l+3, 8l+6\} \cup \{9^k(9l+3) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+10 = 9u^2+24v^2+w^2$. Observe that $u^2+w^2 \equiv 9u^2+w^2 \equiv 10 \equiv 2 \pmod 8$ and hence u and w are odd. Write $u = 2x+1$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Evidently $\gcd(w,6)=1$ and hence w or w can be written as $6z-1$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$24n+10 = 9(2x+1)^2+24v^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = 3p_3(x)+p_4(v)+p_5(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $2x^2+2y^2+3z^2$ is regular and

$$E(2x^2+2y^2+3z^2) = \{8l+1:\ l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{9^k(9l+6) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, for given $\delta \in \{0,1\}$, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+8\delta+5 = 2u^2+2v^2+3w^2 = (u+v)^2+(u-v)^2+3w^2.$$

Clearly $2 \nmid w$. Since $3w^2 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 5 \pmod 4$, $u \pm v$ are odd too. Note that $x^2 \equiv 5 \pmod 3$ for no $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case $\delta = 0$, we have $\gcd(u \pm v, 6) = 1$, so there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+1 = (6y-1)^2+(6z-1)^2+3(2x+1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x)+p_5(y)+p_5(z).$$

In the case $\delta = 1$, we have $(u+v)^2+(u-v)^2 \equiv 13 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, hence exactly one of $u+v$ and $u-v$ is divisible by 3, thus there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$24n+13 = 3(2x+1)^2+9(2y+1)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x)+3p_3(y)+p_5(z).$$

(iii) Observe that

$$p_7(z) = \frac{5z^2-3z}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 40p_7(z)+9 = (10z-3)^2.$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $5x^2+5y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2+5y^2+z^2) = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \{5l+2,\ 5l+3\} \cup \{4^k(8l+7) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $40n + 19 = 5u^2 + 5v^2 + w^2$. If $u \not\equiv v \pmod 2$, then $w^2 \equiv 19 - 5(u^2 + v^2) \equiv 19 - 5 \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ which never happens. As $w^2 \not\equiv 19 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, we must have $u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Clearly $2 \nmid w$ and $w^2 \equiv 19 \equiv 3^2 \pmod 5$; thus w or $-w$ is congruent to -3 mod 10. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$40n + 19 = 5(2x + 1)^2 + 5(2y + 1)^2 + (10z - 3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_3(y) + p_7(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $5x^2 + 10y^2 + 2z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2 + 10y^2 + 2z^2) = \{8l + 3 : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{25^k(5l + 1), 25^k(5l + 4)\}. \tag{4.12}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $40n + 33 = 5u^2 + 10v^2 + 2w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid u$. Since $2(v^2 + w^2) \equiv 10v^2 + w^2 \equiv 33 - 5u^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, v and w are also odd. Note that $2w^2 \equiv 33 \equiv 18 \pmod 5$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 5$. So w or $-w$ can be written as $10z - 3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $u = 2x + 1$ and $v = 2y + 1$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$40n + 33 = 5(2x + 1)^2 + 10(2y + 1)^2 + 2(10z - 3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 2p_3(y) + 2p_7(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $5x^2 + 40y^2 + z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2 + 40y^2 + z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{4l + 3,\ 8l + 2, 25^k(5l + 2), 25^k(5l + 3)\}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $40n + 14 = 5u^2 + 40v^2 + w^2$. Clearly $u \equiv w \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ since $4 \nmid 14$. Note that $w^2 \equiv 14 \equiv 3^2 \pmod 5$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 5$. Thus either w or $-w$ has the form $10z - 3$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $x = (u - 1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$40n + 14 = 5(2x + 1)^2 + 40v^2 + (10z - 3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(v) + p_7(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the quadratic form $5x^2 + 15y^2 + 6z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2+15y^2+6z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{9^k(3l+1),\ 4^k(16l+14),\ 25^k(5l+2),\ 25^k(5l+3)\}. \tag{4.14}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $120n + 74 = 5u^2 + 15v^2 + 6w^2$. As $4 \mid u^2 + 3v^2$, we have $6w^2 \equiv 74 \pmod 4$ and hence $2 \nmid w$. Note that $5(u^2+3v^2) \equiv 74 - 6w^2 \equiv 4 \equiv 20 \pmod 8$ and hence $u^2+3v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 3.2, $u^2 + 3v^2 = a^2 + 2b^2$ for some odd integers a and b. Now, $120n + 74 = 5a^2 + 15b^2 + 6w^2$. Clearly $\gcd(a, 6) = 1$. Also, $w^2 \equiv 6w^2 \equiv 74 \equiv 3^2 \pmod 5$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 5$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$120n + 74 = 15(2x + 1)^2 + 5(6y - 1)^2 + 6(10z - 3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_5(y) + 2p_7(z).$$

(iv) Recall that

$$p_8(z) = 3z^2 - 2z \quad \text{and} \quad 3p_8(z) + 1 = (3z - 1)^2.$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $3x^2 + 3y^2 + 4z^2$ is regular and

$$E(3x^2 + 3y^2 + 4z^2) = \{4l + 1 : \ l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{9^k(3l + 2) : \ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $12n+7 = 3u^2+3v^2+4w^2$. It follows that

$$24n + 14 = 6u^2 + 6v^2 + 8w^2 = 3(u + v)^2 + 3(u - v)^2 + 8w^2.$$

Since $4 \nmid 14$, we have $u \pm v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Note also that $\gcd(w, 3) = 1$. Thus, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 14 = 3(2x + 1)^2 + 3(2y + 1)^2 + 8(3z - 1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_3(y) + p_8(z).$$

By (4.5) there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n + 17 = 3u^2 + 6v^2 + 2w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid u$. Since $2(3v^2 + w^2) \equiv 17 - 3u^2 \equiv 6 \pmod 8$, we have $2 \nmid v$ and $2 \mid w$. Note that $\gcd(w/2, 3) = 1$. Thus, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n + 17 = 3(2x + 1)^2 + 6(2y + 1)^2 + 8(3z - 1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 2p_3(y) + p_8(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the forms $3x^2 + 24y^2 + 8z^2$, $3x^2 + 48y^2 + 8z^2$ and $3x^2 + 72y^2 + 8z^2$ are regular and

$$E(3x^2 + 24y^2 + 8z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \{3l + 1, 4l + 1, 4l + 2, 4^k(8l + 7)\}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$E(3x^2 + 48y^2 + 8z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \{8l + 1, 8l + 7, 16l + 6, 16l + 10, 9^k(3l + 1)\}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$E(3x^2 + 72y^2 + 8z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \{3l + 1, 4l + 1, 4l + 2, 8l + 7, 32l + 4, 9^k(9l + 6)\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Let $m \in \{1,2,3\}$. Then there are $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24n+11 = 3u^2+24mv^2+8w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid u$ and $3 \nmid w$. So, for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$24n+11 = 3(2x+1)^2+24my^2+8(3z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x)+mp_4(y)+p_8(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $3x^2+36y^2+4z^2$ is regular and

$$E(3x^2+36y^2+4z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{3l+2, 4l+1, 4l+2, 8l+7, 9^k(9l+6)\}. \tag{4.19}$$

Thus there are $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $12n+7 = 3u^2+36v^2+4w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid u$ and $3 \nmid w$. So, for some $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$12n+7 = 3(2x+1)^2+36y^2+4(3z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n = 2p_3(x)+3p_4(y)+p_8(z).$$

(v) By (4.4) there are $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $168n+103 = a^2+3b^2+3c^2$. Since $a^2 \not\equiv 103 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, b and c cannot be all even. Without loss of generality we assume that $2 \nmid c$. Note that $a^2+3b^2 \equiv 103-3c^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$. By Lemma 3.2, $a^2+3b^2 = c^2+3d^2$ for some odd integers c and d. Without loss of generality we simply suppose that a and b are odd.

We claim that there are odd integers a_0, b_0, c_0 such that $168n+103 = a_0^2+3b_0^2+3c_0^2$ and $c_0 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 7$. Assume that $b, c \not\equiv \pm 2 \pmod 7$. If $a,b,c \not\equiv 0 \pmod 7$, then we cannot have $a^2+3b^2+3c^2 \equiv 103 \equiv 5 \pmod 7$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that a or b is divisible by 7, and $a \equiv b \pmod 4$ (otherwise we use $-a$ instead of a). Since $c \not\equiv \pm 2 \pmod 7$, we cannot have $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod 7$. By $a^2+3b^2+3c^2 \equiv 5 \pmod 7$, we get that the integer in $\{a,b\}$ not divisible by 7 must be congruent to 3 or -3 modulo 7. Clearly, $(2a)^2+3(2b)^2 = (a-3b)^2+3(a+b)^2$, $a-3b \equiv a+b \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $a+b \equiv \pm 4 \pmod 7$. Thus there are odd integers a_0, b_0 such that $a^2+3b^2 = a_0^2+3b_0^2$ and $b_0 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 7$.

By the above, there are odd integers $u,v,w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $168n+103 = u^2+3v^2+3w^2$ and $w \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 7$. As $3w^2 \equiv 12 \equiv 103 \pmod 7$, we have $u^2 \equiv -3v^2 \equiv (2v)^2 \pmod 7$. Without loss of generality we assume that $u \equiv -2v \pmod 7$. Set $s = (u+2v)/7$ and $t = 2s-v = (2u-3v)/7$. Then s and t are odd, and

$$u^2+3v^2 = (3s+2t)^2+3(2s-t)^2 = 21s^2+7t^2.$$

Note that $168n+103 = 21s^2+7t^2+3w^2$. Clearly $\gcd(t,6)=1$ and $w \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{14}$. So there are $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$168n+103 = 21(2x+1)^2+7(6y-1)^2+3(14z-5)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_5(y) + p_9(z).$$

(vi) Observe that

$$p_{10}(z) = 4z^2 - 3z \quad \text{and} \quad 16p_{10}(z) + 9 = (8z-3)^2.$$

By the Gauss-Legendre theorem, there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $16n + 13 = u^2+v^2+w^2$ and w is odd. Note that $16n+13 = 2(s^2+t^2)+w^2$ where $s = (u+v)/2$ and $t = (u-v)/2$. As $2(s^2+t^2) \equiv 13-w^2 \equiv 12 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, we have $s \equiv t \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Clearly $w^2 \equiv 13 - 2(s^2+t^2) \equiv 3^2 \pmod{16}$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$16n + 13 = 2(2x+1)^2 + 2(2y+1)^2 + (8z-3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_3(y) + p_{10}(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $2x^2 + 4y^2 + z^2$ is regular and

$$E(2x^2 + 4y^2 + z^2) = \{4^k(16l + 14) : \ k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{4.20}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $16n + 15 = 2u^2 + 4v^2 + w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid w$. Since $2(u^2+2v^2) \equiv 15-w^2 \equiv 6 \pmod 8$, we have $u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. As $w^2 \equiv 15 - 2u^2 - 4v^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, either w or $-w$ is congruent to -3 mod 8. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$16n + 15 = 2(2x+1)^2 + 4(2y+1)^2 + (8z-3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + 2p_3(y) + p_{10}(z).$$

Note that $16n + 11 = 2u^2 + 4v^2 + w^2$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $2 \nmid w$. Since $2u^2 \equiv 11 - w^2 \equiv 10 \pmod 4$, we also have $2 \nmid u$. As $4v^2 \equiv 11 - 2u^2 - w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, v must be even. Note that $w^2 \equiv 11 - 2u^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$. Thus, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$16n + 11 = 2(2x+1)^2 + 4(2y)^2 + (8z-3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{10}(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $10x^2 + 2y^2 + 5z^2$ is regular and

$$E(10x^2 + 2y^2 + 5z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \{8l+3,\ 25^k(5l+1),\ 25^k(5l+4)\}. \tag{4.21}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $80n + 73 = 10u^2 + 2v^2 + 5w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid w$. Since $2(u^2 + v^2) \equiv 10u^2 + 2v^2 \equiv 73 - 5w^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, we have $u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Note that

$$5w^2 \equiv 73 - 10u^2 - 2v^2 \equiv 73 - 12 \equiv 5 \times 3^2 \pmod{16}$$

and hence $w \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$. Also, $2v^2 \equiv 73 \equiv 2 \times 3^2 \pmod 5$ and hence $v \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 5$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$80n + 73 = 10(2x + 1)^2 + 2(10y - 3)^2 + 5(8z - 3)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_7(y) + p_{10}(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $5x^2 + 40y^2 + 8z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2+40y^2+8z^2) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \{4l+2, 4l+3, 8l+1, 32l+12, 5^{2k}(5l+1), 5^{2k}(5l+4)\}. \tag{4.22}$$

Thus there are $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $40n + 37 = 5u^2 + 40v^2 + 8w^2$. Clearly $2 \nmid u$. Also, $8w^2 \equiv 37 \equiv 32 \pmod 5$ and hence $w \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 5$. So, for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$40n + 37 = 5(2x + 1)^2 + 40y^2 + 8(5z - 2)^2$$

and hence

$$n = p_3(x) + p_4(y) + p_{12}(z)$$

since

$$5p_{12}(z) = 5(5z^2 - 4z) = (5z - 2)^2 - 4.$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

5. Proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7

Proof of Theorem 1.6. (i) It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &n = p_3(x) + 2p_4(y) + p_9(z) \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &56n + 32 = 7(2x + 1)^2 + 112y^2 + (14z - 5)^2 \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \iff &56n + 32 = 7x^2 + 28y^2 + z^2 \ \text{ for some } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 2 \nmid z. \end{aligned}$$

(If $56n + 32 = 7x^2 + 28y^2 + z^2$ with $2 \nmid z$, then $28y^2 \equiv 32 - 7x^2 - z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ and hence y is even, also $z^2 \equiv 32 \equiv 2^2 \pmod 7$ and hence $z \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{14}$.)

Under Conjecture 1.5, one can check that all the numbers in $S(7)$ congruent to 32 mod 56 can be written in the form $7x^2+28y^2+z^2$ with z odd. If $56n+32\not\in S(7)$, then $56n+32=p+7x^2$ for some prime p and $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $2\nmid x$ and $p\equiv 32-7x^2\equiv 25\pmod{56}$. By (2.17) of [C, p. 31], there are $y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $p=7y^2+z^2$. Since $p\equiv 1\not\equiv 7\pmod 4$, we have $2\mid y$. So $56n+32=7x^2+28(y/2)^2+z^2$ with $2\nmid z$.

(ii) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&n=p_3(x)+p_4(y)+p_{13}(z)\text{ for some }x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \iff&88n+92=11(2x+1)^2+88y^2+(22z-9)^2\text{ for some }x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \iff&88(n+1)+4=11x^2+22y^2+z^2\ \text{ for some }x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}\text{ with }2\nmid z.\end{aligned}$$

(If $88(n+1)+4=11x^2+22y^2+z^2$ with $2\nmid z$, then $22y^2\equiv 4-11x^2-z^2\equiv 0\pmod 8$ and hence y is even, also $z^2\equiv 4\pmod{11}$ and hence $z\equiv\pm 9\pmod{22}$.)

Under Conjecture 1.5, one can check that all the numbers in $S(11)$ congruent to 4 mod 88 can be written in the form $11x^2+22y^2+z^2$ with z odd. If $88(n+1)+4\not\in S(11)$, then $88(n+1)+4=p+11x^2$ for some prime p and $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Evidently, $2\nmid x$ and $p\equiv 4-11x^2\equiv 81\pmod{88}$. By (2.28) of [C, p. 36], there are $y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $p=22y^2+z^2$ and hence $80(n+1)+4=11x^2+22y^2+z^2$ with $2\nmid z$.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By Theorem 1.3, none of the 6 sums in Theorem 1.7 is universal over $\mathbb{N}$. Below we show that all the 6 sums are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. For convenience we fix a nonnegative integer n.

By [D39, pp. 112-113], the form $5x^2+y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(5x^2+y^2+z^2)=\{4^k(8l+3):\ k,l\in\mathbb{N}\}.\tag{5.1}$$

Thus $40n+23=u^2+v^2+5w^2$ for some $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$. As $u^2+v^2\not\equiv 23\pmod 4$, w must be odd. Since $u^2+v^2\equiv 23-5w^2\equiv 2\pmod 4$, we have $u\equiv v\equiv 1\pmod 2$. Note that $x^2\not\equiv 23\pmod 5$ for any $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. So u and v are relatively prime to 10. By $u^2+v^2\equiv 23\equiv -2\pmod 5$, we must have $u^2\equiv v^2\equiv -1\equiv 3^2\pmod 5$. So u or $-u$ has the form $10y-3$ with $y\in\mathbb{Z}$, and v or $-v$ has the form $10z-3$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Write $w=2x+1$ with $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$40n+23=5(2x+1)^2+(10y-3)^2+(10z-3)^2$$

and hence

$$n=p_3(x)+p_7(y)+p_7(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112-113], the form $24x^2+y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(24x^2+y^2+z^2)=\bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}}\{4l+3,\ 8l+6,\ 9^k(9l+3)\}.\tag{5.2}$$

Thus $24n+2=24x^2+u^2+v^2$ for some $u,v,x\in\mathbb{Z}$. As $u^2+v^2\equiv 2 \pmod 4$, we have $u\equiv v\equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Since $u^2+v^2\equiv 2 \pmod 3$, neither u nor v is divisible by 3. So u and v are relatively prime to 6. We can write u or $-u$ in the form $6y-1$ with $y\in\mathbb{Z}$, and write v or $-v$ in the form $6z-1$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$24n+2=24x^2+(6y-1)^2+(6z-1)^2$$

and hence

$$n=p_4(x)+p_5(y)+p_5(z).$$

By (4.4) there are $w,x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $3n+1=w^2+3x^2+3y^2$. Note that w or $-w$ can be written as $3z-1$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. So

$$3n+1=3x^2+3y^2+(3z-1)^2 \text{ and hence } n=p_4(x)+p_4(y)+p_8(z).$$

By [D27] or [D39, pp. 112–113], the form $3x^2+y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(3x^2+y^2+z^2)=\{9^k(9l+6):\ k,l\in\mathbb{N}\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Thus there are $u,v,x\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $3n+2=3x^2+u^2+v^2$. As $u^2+v^2\equiv 2 \pmod 3$, both u and v are relatively prime to 3. Clearly u or $-u$ has the form $3y-1$ with $y\in\mathbb{Z}$, and v or $-v$ has the form $3z-1$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$3n+2=3x^2+(3y-1)^2+(3z-1)^2 \text{ and hence } n=p_4(x)+p_8(y)+p_8(z).$$

By [D39, pp. 112-113], the form $16x^2+16y^2+z^2$ is regular and

$$E(16x^2+16y^2+z^2)=\bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}}\{4l+2,4l+3,8l+5,16l+8,16l+12,4^k(8l+7)\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus $16n+9=w^2+16x^2+16y^2$ for some $w,x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $w^2\equiv\pm3 \pmod 8$ and so w or $-w$ has the form $8z-3$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$16n+9=16x^2+16y^2+(8z-3)^2 \text{ and hence } n=p_4(x)+p_4(y)+p_{10}(z).$$

By (4.10) there are $u,v,w\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $48n+31=2u^2+2v^2+3w^2$. Clearly w is odd. Since $2(u^2+v^2)\equiv 31-3\equiv 4 \pmod 8$, both u and v are also odd. Thus $3w^2\equiv 31-2u^2-2v^2\equiv 27 \pmod{16}$ and hence $w\equiv\pm3 \pmod 8$. We can write w or $-w$ in the form $8z-3$ with $z\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $2(u^2+v^2)\equiv 31\equiv 4 \pmod 3$, we must have $u^2\equiv v^2\equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Since $\gcd(u,6)=1$, we can write u or $-u$ in the form $6x-1$ with $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, $v^2=(6y-1)^2$ for some $y\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$48n+31=2(6x-1)^2+2(6y-1)^2+3(8z-3)^2$$

and it follows that

$$n=p_5(x)+p_5(y)+p_{10}(z).$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.7. $\square$

6. Two auxiliary theorems

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *with* $a \leqslant b \leqslant c$, *and let* $i, j, k \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$ *with* $\max\{i, j, k\} \geqslant 5$. *Suppose that* (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) *is universal and* ai, bj, ck *are all greater than* 5. *Then* $(ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_8, 2p_3, 3p_4)$.

Proof. We first claim that

$$(a, b, c) \in \{(1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4)\}.$$

In fact, as (ai, bj, ck) is universal and $ap_i(2), bp_j(2), cp_k(2) > 5$, the set

$$S = \{0, a\} + \{0, b\} + \{0, c\}$$

contains $[0, 5] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. As $1, 2 \in S$, we have $a = 1$ and $b \leqslant 2$. Since $b + 2 \in S$, c cannot be greater than $b + 2$. Clearly $5 \in S$ implies that $(a, b, c) \neq (1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2)$. This proves the claim.

Case 1. $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 3)$.

Without loss of generality we assume that $i \leqslant j$. Note that $i = ai \geqslant 6$. Since (ai, bj, ck) is universal and $6 \notin S$, we must have $i = \min\{ai, bj, ck\} = 6$. As $8 \in R(p_6, p_j, 3p_k)$, $p_j(3) = 3(j - 1) > 8$ and $3p_k(2) = 3k > 8$, the set $\{0, 1, 6\} + \{0, 1, j\} + \{0, 3\}$ contains 8 and hence $j \in \{7, 8\}$. It is easy to verify that

$$12 \notin R(p_6, p_7, 3p_3),\ 13 \notin R(p_6, p_8, 3p_3),$$
$$17 \notin R(p_6, p_7, 3p_4),\ 37 \notin R(p_6, p_8, 3p_4).$$

As

$$12 \notin \{0, 1, 6\} + \{0, 1, 7\} + \{0, 3\} \text{ and } 13 \notin \{0, 1, 6\} + \{0, 1, 7\} + \{0, 3\},$$

for $k \geqslant 5$ we have $12 \notin R(p_6, p_7, 3p_k)$ and $13 \notin R(p_6, p_8, 3p_k)$. This contradicts the condition that (ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) is universal.

Case 2. $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 2)$.

Without loss of generality we assume that $j \leqslant k$. Recall that $i, 2j, 2k \geqslant 6$. Since $R(p_i, 2p_j, 2p_k) \supseteq [6, 7]$, we have $6, 7 \in \{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, 2, 2k\}$. As $\{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2\} + \{0, 2\}$ cannot contain both 6 and 7, we must have $2j = 6$. Observe that $p_i(3) = 3(i - 1) \geqslant 15$ and $2p_k(3) \geqslant 2p_j(3) = 6(j - 1) = 12$. By $R(p_i, 2p_j, 2p_k) \supseteq [10, 11]$, we get

$$10, 11 \in \{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 6\} + \{0, 2, 2k\}.$$

Since $\{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 6\} + \{0, 2\}$ cannot contain both 10 and 11, we must have $2k < 12$ and hence $k < 6$. Similarly $k \neq 3$ as $\{10, 11\} \not\subseteq \{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 6\} + \{0, 2, 6\}$. So $k \in \{4, 5\}$.

If $i \geqslant 17$, then $16 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_4)$ since $\{0,1\} + \{0,2,6,12\} + \{0,2,8\}$ does not contain 16. We can easily verify that $16 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_4)$ for $i = 7, 9, 11, 13, 15$ and that $17 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_4)$ for $i = 8, 10, 12, 14, 16$. Also, $41 \notin R(p_6, 2p_3, 2p_4)$. Similarly, $18 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_5)$ for $i \geqslant 19$ since $18 \notin \{0,1\} + \{0,2,6,12\} + \{0,2,10\}$. Also, $63 \notin R(p_6, 2p_3, 2p_5)$, $35 \notin R(p_7, 2p_3, 2p_5)$, $19 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_5)$ for $i = 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18$, and $18 \notin R(p_i, 2p_3, 2p_5)$ for $i = 9, 11, 13, 15, 17$. Thus, when $k \in \{4, 5\}$ we also have a contradiction.

Case 3. $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3)$.

Since $(p_i, 2p_j, 3p_k)$ is universal and $i, 2j, 3k \geqslant 6$, we have

$$7, 8 \in \{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, 3, 3k\}.$$

When $i = 7$, we have $2j = 8$ since $8 \in \{0, 1, 7\} + \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, 3\}$. Note that $13 \notin R(p_7, 2p_4, 3p_3)$ and $16 \notin R(p_7, 2p_4, 3p_4)$. If $k \geqslant 5$ then $13 \notin R(p_7, 2p_4, 3p_k)$ as $13 \notin \{0,1,7\} + \{0,2,8\} + \{0,3\}$. If $i \neq 7$, then $7 \notin \{0,1,i\} + \{0,2\} + \{0,3\}$ and hence $2j = 6$. As $8 \notin \{0,1\} + \{0,2,6\} + \{0,3\}$, we cannot have $i > 8$. Thus $i \in \{6, 8\}$ and $j = 3$.

Observe that $14 \notin R(p_6, 2p_3, 3p_3)$ and $22 \notin R(p_6, 2p_3, 3p_4)$. For $k \geqslant 5$ we have $14 \notin R(p_6, 2p_3, 3p_k)$ since $14 \notin \{0, 1, 6\} + \{0, 2, 6, 12\} + \{0, 3\}$. Note that

$$35 \notin R(p_8, 2p_3, 3p_3),\ 19 \notin R(p_8, 2p_3, 3p_5),\ 22 \notin R(p_8, 2p_3, 3p_6).$$

For $k \geqslant 7$ we have $18 \notin R(p_8, 2p_3, 3p_k)$ since $18 \notin \{0,1,8\} + \{0,2,6,12\} + \{0,3\}$. Thus $(i, j, k) = (8, 3, 4)$ and hence $(ap_i, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_8, 2p_3, 3p_4)$.

Case 4. $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 4)$.

As $p_i(3) = 3(i-1) \geqslant 15$, $2p_j(3) = 6(j-1) \geqslant 12$ and $4p_k(2) = 4k \geqslant 12$, the set

$$T = \{0, 1, i\} + \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, 4\}$$

must contain 8, 9, 10, 11. As $\{1, 5\} + \{0, 2, 2j\}$ cannot contain both 9 and 11, i must be odd. Thus $8, 10 \in \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, 4\}$, which is impossible.

Combining the above we have completed the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $b \leqslant c$, and let $j, k \in \{3, 4, 5, \ldots\}$ with $bj, ck \geqslant 5$. Suppose that (p_5, bp_j, cp_k) is universal. Then (p_5, bp_j, cp_k) is on the following list:*

$$(p_5, p_6, 2p_4),\ (p_5, p_9, 2p_3),\ (p_5, p_7, 3p_3),\ (p_5, 2p_3, 3p_3),\ (p_5, 2p_3, 3p_4).$$

Proof. As (p_5, bp_j, cp_k) is universal, $bp_j(2) = bj \geqslant 5$ and $cp_k(2) = ck \geqslant 5$, we have

$$[0, 4] \subseteq \{0, 1\} + \{0, b, c, b + c\},$$

hence $b \leqslant 2$ and $c \leqslant b+2$. Thus

$$(b,c) \in \{(1,2),(1,3),(2,2),(2,3),(2,4)\}.$$

Observe that $p_5(3) = 3(5-1) = 12$, $bp_j(3) = 3b(j-1) \geqslant 12$ and $cp_k(3) = 3c(k-1) \geqslant 12$. Therefore

$$S = \{0,1,5\} + \{0,b,bj\} + \{0,c,ck\} \supseteq [6,11].$$

Case 1. $(b,c) = (1,2)$.

We first consider the case when j is even. If $j = 6$, then by $10 \in S$ we get $2k = 8$ and hence $(p_5, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_5, p_6, 2p_4)$. For $k \geqslant 9$ we have $16 \notin R(p_5, p_8, 2p_k)$ since $16 \notin \{0,5,12\} + \{0,8\} + \{0,2\}$. For $k \in [3,8]$ it is easy to verify that $a_k \notin R(p_5, p_8, 2p_k)$, where

$$a_3 = a_6 = 16,\ a_5 = a_7 = 17,\ a_4 = 46 \text{ and } a_8 = 19.$$

If $j = 10$ then $9 \in S$ implies that $k = 4$. Note that $16 \notin R(p_5, p_{10}, 2p_4)$. For $j = 12, 14, 16, \ldots$, the set S cannot contain both 9 and 11.

Now we consider the case when j is odd. If $j = 5$, then S cannot contain both 9 and 11. If $j = 7$, then $11 \in S$ implies that $k \in \{3,5\}$. But $16 \notin R(p_5, p_7, 2p_3)$ and $27 \notin R(p_5, p_7, 2p_5)$. If $j = 9$ and $k = 3$ then $(p_5, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_5, p_9, 2p_3)$. If $k \geqslant 9$ then $17 \notin R(p_5, p_9, 2p_k)$ since $17 \notin \{0,5,12\} + \{0,9\} + \{0,2\}$. For $k \in [4,8]$ it is easy to verify that $b_k \notin R(p_5, p_8, 2p_k)$, where

$$b_4 = 106,\ b_5 = b_7 = 17 \text{ and } b_6 = b_8 = 19.$$

For $j = 11, 13, 15, \ldots$ we have $k = 4$ by $9, 10 \in S$. Note that $17 \notin R(p_5, p_{11}, 2p_4)$ and $11 \notin R(p_5, p_j, 2p_4)$ for $j = 13, 15, 17, \ldots$.

Case 2. $(b,c) = (1,3)$.

In this case $ck \geqslant 9$. By $7, 10 \in S$, if $j \neq 6$, then $j = 7$ and $k = 3$, hence $(p_5, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_5, p_7, 3p_3)$. It is easy to verify that

$$17 \notin R(p_5, p_6, 3p_3),\ 65 \notin R(p_5, p_6, 3p_4) \text{ and } 24 \notin R(p_5, p_6, 3p_5).$$

For $k \geqslant 6$ we have $17 \notin R(p_5, p_6, 3p_k)$ since

$$17 \notin \{0,1,5,12\} + \{0,1,6,15\} + \{0,3\}.$$

Case 3. $b = 2$ and $c \in \{2,4\}$.

Since b and c are even, by $6, 8, 10 \in S$ we get $3, 4, 5 \in \{0,1,j\} + \{0, c/2, ck/2\}$. This is impossible when $c = 4$. Thus we let $c = 2$ and assume $j \leqslant k$ without loss of generality. By $3, 4, 5 \in \{0,1,j\} + \{0,1,k\}$, we have $j = 3$ and $k \in \{4, 5\}$. One can verify that $138 \notin R(p_5, 2p_3, 2p_4)$ and $60 \notin R(p_5, 2p_3, 2p_5)$.

Case 4. $(b, c) = (2, 3)$.

We first consider the case $k = 3$. If $j = 3$, then $(p_5, bp_j, cp_k) = (p_5, 2p_3, 3p_3)$. Observe that

$$34 \notin R(p_5, 2p_4, 3p_3),\ 26 \notin R(p_5, 2p_5, 3p_3),\ 28 \notin R(p_5, 2p_6, 3p_3).$$

For $j \geqslant 7$ we have $13 \notin R(p_5, 2p_6, 3p_3)$ since $13 \notin \{0, 1, 5, 12\} + \{0, 2\} + \{0, 3, 9\}$.

Now we consider the case $k \geqslant 4$. Since $ck \geqslant 12$, by $9, 11 \in S$ we get $2j \in \{6, 8\}$. Note that $(p_5, 2p_3, 3p_4)$ is on the list given in Theorem 6.2. Also, $19 \notin R(p_5, 2p_3, 3p_5)$ and $26 \notin R(p_5, 2p_3, 3p_6)$. For $k \geqslant 7$ we have $19 \notin R(p_5, 2p_3, 3p_k)$ since

$$19 \notin \{0, 3\} + \{0, 1, 5, 12, 22\} + \{0, 2, 6, 12, 20\}.$$

Note that $139 \notin R(p_5, 2p_4, 3p_4)$, $31 \notin R(p_5, 2p_4, 3p_k)$ for $k = 5, 7, 9$, and $28 \notin R(p_5, 2p_4, 3p_k)$ for $k = 6, 8$. For $k \geqslant 10$ we have $28 \notin R(p_5, 2p_4, 3p_k)$ since

$$28 \notin \{0, 1, 5, 12, 22\} + \{0, 2, 8, 18\} + \{0, 3\}.$$

In view of the above we are done. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose that* (p_3, p_j, p_k) *is universal with* $3 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ *and* $k \geqslant 5$. *Then* (j, k) *is among the following ordered pairs:*

$$\begin{gathered}(3, k)\ (k = 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17),\\ (4, k)\ (k = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 27),\\ (5, k)\ (k = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13),\\ (7, 8),\ (7, 10).\end{gathered}$$

Proof. We distinguish four cases.

Case 1. $j = 3$.

It is easy to verify that that $a_k \notin R(p_3, p_3, p_k)$ for

$$k = 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, \ldots, 33,$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}a_9 = a_{15} = a_{18} = a_{22} = a_{24} = a_{27} = a_{33} = 41,\ a_{11} = a_{23} = 63,\\ a_{13} = a_{20} = a_{21} = a_{30} = 53,\ a_{14} = a_{16} = a_{19} = a_{25} = a_{28} = 33,\\ a_{26} = 129,\ a_{29} = 125,\ a_{31} = 54,\ a_{32} = 86.\end{gathered}$$

For $k \geqslant 34$ we have $33 \notin R(p_3, p_3, p_k)$ since

$$33 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21,28\} + \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21,28\} + \{0,1\}.$$

Case 2. $j = 4$.

One can verify that that $b_k \notin R(p_3, p_4, p_k)$ for

$$k = 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, \dots, 26, 28, 29, \dots, 34,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &b_{14} = b_{16} = b_{21} = b_{26} = 34,\ b_{19} = 412,\ b_{20} = 468, \\ &b_{22} = b_{32} = 90,\ b_{23} = 99,\ b_{24} = 112,\ b_{25} = b_{28} = b_{30} = 48, \\ &b_{29} = 63,\ b_{31} = 69,\ b_{33} = 438,\ b_{34} = 133. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geqslant 35$ we have $34 \notin R(p_3, p_4, p_k)$ since

$$34 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21,28\} + \{0,1,4,9,16,25\} + \{0,1\}.$$

Case 3. $j = 5$.

It is easy to verify that $c_k \notin R(p_3, p_5, p_k)$ for $k = 10, 12, 14, 15, \dots, 31$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{10} = c_{16} = c_{25} = c_{27} = c_{30} = 69,\ c_{12} = c_{14} = c_{17} = c_{22} = 31, \\ &c_{15} = c_{20} = 131,\ c_{18} = c_{23} = c_{26} = c_{31} = 65,\ c_{19} = 168, \\ &c_{21} = 135,\ c_{24} = 218,\ c_{28} = 75,\ c_{29} = 82. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geqslant 32$ we have $31 \notin R(p_3, p_5, p_k)$ since

$$31 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21,28\} + \{0,1,5,12,22,35\} + \{0,1\}.$$

Case 4. $j \geqslant 6$.

Since $p_j(3) = 3(j-1) \geqslant 15$ and $p_k(3) = 3(k-1) \geqslant 15$, we should have

$$S = \{0,1,3,6,10\} + \{0,1,j\} + \{0,1,k\} \supseteq \{9,13,14\}.$$

By $9 \in S$ we get $j \leqslant 9$.

When $j = 6$, by $14 \in S$ we have $k \in \{7,8,10,11,12,13,14\}$. Observe that $d_k \notin R(p_3, p_6, p_k)$ for $k = 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14$, where

$$d_7 = 75,\ d_8 = 398,\ d_{10} = d_{11} = 24,\ d_{12} = 20,\ d_{13} = d_{14} = 33.$$

Now we handle the case $j = 7$. For $k = 7, 9, 11, 12, \ldots, 26$ we have $e_k \notin R(p_3, p_7, p_k)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &e_7 = e_{13} = e_{15} = e_{18} = e_{22} = 27,\ e_9 = e_{24} = 51, \\ &e_{11} = e_{16} = 42,\ e_{12} = e_{14} = e_{17} = e_{21} = 26, \\ &e_{19} = e_{26} = 31,\ e_{20} = e_{23} = 32,\ e_{24} = 51,\ e_{25} = 48. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geqslant 27$ we have $26 \notin R(p_3, p_7, p_k)$ since

$$26 \notin \{0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21\} + \{0, 1, 7, 18\} + \{0, 1\}.$$

When $j = 8$, by $13 \in S$ we obtain $8 \leqslant k \leqslant 13$. Observe that $f_k \notin R(p_3, p_8, p_k)$ for $k \in [8, 13]$, where

$$f_8 = 20,\ f_9 = 413,\ f_{10} = 104,\ f_{11} = 84,\ f_{12} = 59,\ f_{13} = 26.$$

When $j = 9$, by $14 \in S$ we get $10 \leqslant k \leqslant 14$. Note that $g_k \notin R(p_3, p_9, p_k)$ for $k \in [10, 14]$, where

$$g_{10} = g_{13} = 18,\ g_{11} = 43,\ g_{12} = g_{14} = 32.$$

Combining the above we have proved the desired result. □

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that* (p_4, p_j, p_k) *is universal with* $4 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ *and* $k \geqslant 5$. *Then* $j \in \{4, 5\}$ *and* $k = j + 1$.

Proof. As $p_j(3) = 3(j-1) \geqslant 9$ and $p_k(3) = 3(k-1) \geqslant 9$, the set

$$S = \{0, 1, 4\} + \{0, 1, j\} + \{0, 1, k\}$$

must contain 7 and 8. By $7 \in S$ we see that $j \leqslant 7$.

When $j = 4$, we have $k \in \{5, 6, 7\}$ by $7 \in S$. Note that $12 \notin R(p_4, p_4, p_6)$ and $77 \notin R(p_4, p_4, p_7)$.

When $j = 5$, we have $k \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ by $8 \in S$. Observe that $63 \notin R(p_4, p_5, p_7)$ and $19 \notin R(p_4, p_5, p_8)$.

When $j = 6$, one can verify that $s_k \notin R(p_4, p_6, p_k)$ for $k \in [6, 12]$, where

$$s_6 = s_{11} = 14,\ s_7 = 21,\ s_8 = 35,\ s_9 = 12,\ s_{10} = 13,\ s_{12} = 60.$$

For $k \geqslant 13$ we have $12 \notin R(p_4, p_6, p_k)$ since $12 \notin \{0, 1, 4, 9\} + \{0, 1, 6\} + \{0, 1\}$.

When $j = 7$, it is easy to verify that $t_k \notin R(p_4, p_7, p_k)$ for $k \in [7, 13]$, where

$$t_7 = t_{10} = 13,\ t_8 = t_{11} = 14,\ t_9 = t_{12} = 15,\ t_{13} = 42.$$

For $k \geqslant 14$ we have $13 \notin R(p_4, p_7, p_k)$ since $13 \notin \{0, 1, 4, 9\} + \{0, 1, 7\} + \{0, 1\}$.

In view of the above, we obtain that $(j, k) \in \{(4, 5), (5, 6)\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, we have $i \leqslant 5$ and $i \neq 5$. So $i \in \{3, 4\}$. Thus the desired result follows from Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2. □

8. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that* $(p_3, p_j, 2p_k)$ *is universal with* $j, k \geqslant 3$ *and* $\max\{j,k\} \geqslant 5$. *Then* (j,k) *is among the following ordered pairs:*

$$\begin{gathered}(j,3)\ (j=5,6,7,8,9,10,12,15,16,17,23),\\ (5,4),\ (6,4),\ (8,4),\ (9,4),\ (17,4),\\ (3,5),\ (4,5),\ (6,5),\ (7,5),\ (8,6),\\ (4,7),\ (5,7),\ (7,7),\ (4,8),\ (4,9),\ (5,9).\end{gathered}$$

Proof. We distinguish six cases.

Case 1. $k = 3$.

In this case, $j \geqslant 5$. It is easy to see that $a_j \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_3)$ for $j = 11, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25$, where

$$\begin{gathered}a_{11} = a_{14} = a_{21} = 25,\ a_{13} = a_{18} = a_{25} = 50,\\ a_{19} = 258,\ a_{20} = 89,\ a_{22} = 54,\ a_{24} = 175.\end{gathered}$$

For $j \geqslant 26$ we have $25 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_3)$ since

$$25 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21\} + \{0,1\} + \{0,2,6,12,20\}.$$

Case 2. $k = 4$.

One can verify that $b_j \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_4)$ for

$$j = 7,\ 10,\ 11, \ldots, 16,\ 18,\ 19, \ldots, 26,$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}b_7 = 59,\ b_{10} = b_{13} = b_{19} = b_{22} = 26,\ b_{11} = b_{14} = b_{20} = b_{23} = 27,\\ b_{12} = b_{18} = b_{24} = 49,\ b_{15} = b_{16} = b_{21} = b_{25} = 41,\ b_{26} = 115.\end{gathered}$$

For $j \geqslant 27$ we have $26 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_4)$ since

$$26 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15,21\} + \{0,1\} + \{0,2,8,18\}.$$

Case 3. $k = 5$.

Observe that $c_j \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_5)$ for $j = 5, 8, 9, \ldots, 19$, where

$$\begin{gathered}c_5 = c_{10} = c_{12} = c_{15} = 19,\ c_8 = 83,\ c_9 = c_{16} = 42,\\ c_{11} = c_{13} = 62,\ c_{14} = c_{19} = 33,\ c_{17} = 43,\ c_{18} = 114.\end{gathered}$$

For $j \geqslant 20$ we have $19 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_5)$ since

$$19 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15\} + \{0,1\} + \{0,2,10\}.$$

Case 4. $k = 6$.

It is easy to see that $d_j \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_6)$ for $j = 3,4,5,6,7,9,10,\ldots,20$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &d_3 = 35,\ d_4 = d_9 = d_{11} = d_{13} = d_{16} = 20,\\ &d_5 = 124,\ d_6 = d_{10} = d_{12} = d_{15} = d_{17} = d_{19} = 26,\\ &d_7 = 50,\ d_{14} = d_{18} = 25,\ d_{20} = 44.\end{aligned}$$

For $j \geqslant 21$ we have $20 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_6)$ since

$$20 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15\} + \{0,1\} + \{0,2,12\}.$$

Case 5. $k = 7$.

Observe that $e_j \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_7)$ for $j = 3,6,8,9,\ldots,19$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &e_3 = e_6 = e_8 = e_{10} = e_{12} = e_{15} = 19,\ e_9 = 86,\\ &e_{11} = e_{14} = e_{16} = e_{18} = 27,\ e_{13} = e_{17} = e_{19} = 26.\end{aligned}$$

For $j \geqslant 20$ we have $19 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_7)$ since

$$19 \notin \{0,1,3,6,10,15\} + \{0,1\} + \{0,2,14\}.$$

Case 6. $k \geqslant 8$.

As $14 \in R(p_3, p_j, 2p_k)$, $p_j(5) = 10j - 15 \geqslant 15$ and $2k > 14$, we have

$$14 \in \{0,1,3,6,10\} + \{0,1,j,3j-3,6j-8\} + \{0,2\}.$$

It follows that $j \in \{3,4,5,6,8,9,11,12,13,14\}$.

For $j = 3,5,6,8,12$ it is easy to see that $19 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 10$. Note that $35 \notin R(p_3, p_j, p_k)$ for $j \in \{3,8\}$ and $k \in \{8,9\}$. Also, $26 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_9)$ for $j = 6, 12$, and

$$124 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 2p_8),\ 35 \notin R(p_3, p_6, 2p_8),\ 25 \notin R(p_3, p_{12}, 2p_8).$$

For $j = 4,9,11,13$ it is easy to see that $20 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 2p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 11$. Observe that $43 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 2p_{10})$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &33 \notin R(p_3, p_9, 2p_8),\ 35 \notin R(p_3, p_9, 2p_9),\ 33 \notin R(p_3, p_9, 2p_{10}),\\ &25 \notin R(p_3, p_{11}, 2p_8),\ 27 \notin R(p_3, p_{11}, 2p_9),\ 25 \notin R(p_3, p_{11}, 2p_{10}).\\ &33 \notin R(p_3, p_{13}, 2p_8),\ 26 \notin R(p_3, p_{13}, 2p_9),\ 32 \notin R(p_3, p_{13}, p_{10}).\end{aligned}$$

For the case $j = 14$, we have

$$27 \notin R(p_3, p_{14}, 2p_9),\ 27 \notin R(p_3, p_{14}, 2p_{11}),\ 32 \notin R(p_3, p_{14}, 2p_{12}).$$

Also, $25 \notin R(p_3, p_{14}, 2p_k)$ for $k = 8, 10, 13, 14, \ldots$.

Combining the above we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 8.2. *Let* c *be a positive integer greater than* 2*. Suppose that* (p_3, p_j, cp_k) *is universal with* $j, k \geqslant 3$ *and* $\max\{j, k\} \geqslant 5$*. Then* (p_j, cp_k) *is among the following* 10 *ordered pairs:*

$$(p_3, 4p_5)\ (p_5, 3p_3),\ (p_5, 4p_3),\ (p_5, 6p_3),\ (p_5, 9p_3),$$
$$(p_5, 3p_4),\ (p_5, 4p_4),\ (p_5, 4p_6),\ (p_5, 4p_7),\ (p_8, 3p_4).$$

Proof. Since (p_3, p_j, cp_k) is universal, $cp_k(3) = 3c(k-1) \geqslant 9(k-1) \geqslant 18$ and $p_j(6) = 15j - 24 \geqslant 21$, the set

$$T = \{0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 15\} + \{0, 1, j, 3j-3, 6j-8, 10j-15\} + \{0, c, ck\}$$

must contain $\{5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17\}$.

Case 1. $c = 3$.

By $8 \in T$ we get $j \in \{4, 5, 7, 8\}$. Observe that $41 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 3p_k)$ for $k = 6, 7$ and $23 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 3p_k)$ for $k = 5, 8, 9, \ldots$. For $j = 5$ we have $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ by $17 \in T$. Note that $34 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 3p_5)$. For $j = 7$, by $12 \in T$ we obtain $k \in \{3, 4\}$. It is easy to see that $23 \notin R(p_3, p_7, 3p_3)$ and $26 \notin R(p_3, p_7, 3p_4)$. Also, $35 \notin R(p_3, p_8, 3p_k)$ for $k = 3, 6$, and $20 \notin R(p_3, p_8, 3p_k)$ for $k = 5, 7, 8, \ldots$.

Case 2. $c = 4$.

By $9 \in T$ we have $j \leqslant 9$ and $j \neq 7$. For $j \in \{6, 8, 9\}$, we have $k = 4$ by $17 \in T$. Note that

$$24 \notin R(p_3, p_6, 4p_4),\ 98 \notin R(p_3, p_8, 4p_4),\ 84 \notin R(p_3, p_9, 4p_4).$$

For $j = 3$, we have $23 \notin R(p_3, p_3, 4p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 6$. For $j = 4$, we have $38 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 4p_5)$, $47 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 4p_6)$, and $27 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 4p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 7$. For $j = 5$, we have $143 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 4p_8)$, and $34 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 4p_k)$ for $k = 5, 9, 10, \ldots$.

Case 3. $c = 5$.

Since $5k \geqslant 15$, by $13, 14 \in T$ we get $j \in \{3, 8, 13\}$. If $j \in \{8, 13\}$, then by $17 \in T$ we obtain $k = 3$. Note that $35 \notin R(p_3, p_j, 5p_3)$ for $j = 8, 13$. Also, $19 \notin R(p_3, p_3, 5p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$.

Case 4. $c > 5$.

By $5 \in T$ we have $j \in \{4, 5\}$. If $j = 4$ then $c \leqslant 8$ by $8 \in T$. If $j = 5$, then $c \in \{6, 7, 9\}$ by $9, 17 \in T$. Observe that $68 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 6p_5)$, and $33 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 6p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 6$. Also, $68 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 6p_4)$, $114 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 6p_5)$, and $30 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 6p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 6$. Note that $20 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 7p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$. Also, $89 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 7p_3)$, and $24 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 7p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 4$. It is easy to verify that $273 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 8p_5)$ and $41 \notin R(p_3, p_4, 8p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 6$. Also, $53 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 9p_4)$ and $39 \notin R(p_3, p_5, 9p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$.

In view of the above, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 8.3. *Let b and c be integers with $2 \leqslant b \leqslant c$. Let $j,k \in \{3,4,\ldots\}$, $\max\{j,k\} \geqslant 5$, and $j \leqslant k$ if $b=c$. Suppose that (p_3, bp_j, cp_k) is universal Then (bp_j, cp_k) is among the following* 10 *ordered pairs:*

$$(2p_3,2p_6),\ (2p_3,2p_7),\ (2p_3,2p_8),\ (2p_3,2p_9),\ (2p_3,2p_{12}),$$
$$(2p_3,4p_5),\ (2p_4,2p_5),\ (2p_4,4p_5),(2p_5,4p_3),\ (2p_5,4p_4).$$

Proof. Since (p_3, bp_j, cp_k) is universal and $bj, ck \geqslant 2\times 3 = 6$, we should have $2,4 \in \{0,1,3\} + \{0,b\} + \{0,c\}$ which implies that $b=2$ and $c \in \{2,3,4\}$.

Suppose that $ck < 12$. Then, either $c=2$ and $j \leqslant k = 5$, or $c = k = 3$. Note that $139 \notin R(p_3, 2p_3, 2p_5)$, $9 \notin R(p_3, 2p_5, 2p_5)$, and $7 \notin R(p_3, 2p_j, 3p_3)$ for $j \geqslant 5$.

Below we assume that $ck \geqslant 12$. Then the set

$$R = \{0,1,3,6,10\} + \{0,2,2j\} + \{0,c\}$$

contains $[0,11]$.

When $c=2$, we have $k \geqslant 6$ by $ck \geqslant 12$, and $j \in \{3,4,5\}$ by $11 \in R$. Note that $r_k \notin R(p_3, 2p_3, 2p_k)$ for $k = 10, 11, 13, 14, \ldots$, where

$$r_{10} = r_{14} = r_{20} = r_{21} = \cdots = 39,\ r_{11} = r_{16} = 46,$$
$$r_{13} = r_{15} = 76,\ r_{17} = 83,\ r_{18} = 151,\ r_{19} = 207.$$

Also, $43 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 2p_6)$, $27 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 2p_k)$ for $k \in \{7,10\}$, $64 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 2p_8)$, $826 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 2p_{11})$, and $22 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 2p_k)$ for $k = 9, 12, 13, \ldots$.

In the case $c=3$, by $7 \in R$ we get $j=3$. Note that $14 \notin R(p_3, 2p_3, 3p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$.

When $c=4$, we have $j \in \{3,4,5\}$ by $11 \in R$. Observe that $53 \notin R(p_3, 2p_3, 4p_k)$ for $k = 6,7$, and $29 \notin R(p_3, 2p_3, 4p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 8$. Also, $20 \notin R(p_3, 2p_4, 4p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 6$, and $18 \notin R(p_3, 2p_5, 4p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$.

By the above, we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 8.4. *Let b and c be positive integers with $b \leqslant c$ and $c > 1$. Let $j,k \in \{3,4,5,\ldots\}$, $\max\{j,k\} \geqslant 5$, and $j \leqslant k$ if $b=c$. Suppose that (p_4, bp_j, cp_k) is universal with $bj, ck \geqslant 4$. Then (bp_j, cp_k) is among the following* 11 *ordered pairs:*

$$(p_j, 2p_3)\,(j = 5,6,7,8,10,12,17),\ (p_5, 2p_4),\ (p_5, 3p_3),\ (2p_3, 2p_5),\ (2p_3, 4p_5).$$

Proof. Since (p_4, bp_j, cp_k) is universal, we have $2,3 \in \{0,1\} + \{0,b\} + \{0,c\}$. Thus, $b=1$ and $c \in \{2,3\}$, or $b = 2 \leqslant c$.

Case 1. $b = 1$ and $c = 2$.

In view of (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} &(p_4, p_j, 2p_3) \text{ is universal} \\ \iff &(p_3, p_3, p_j) \text{ is universal} \\ \implies &j \in \{5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17\} \text{ (by Lemma 7.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $k \geqslant 4$. Note that $j = bj \geqslant 4$.

In the case $j = 4$, we have $21 \notin R(p_4, p_4, 2p_k)$ for $k \in \{5, 7\}$, $23 \notin R(p_4, p_4, 2p_6)$, and $14 \notin R(p_4, p_4, 2p_k)$ for $k \geqslant 8$.

When $j = 5$, we have $42 \notin R(p_4, p_5, 2p_5)$, $29 \notin R(p_4, p_5, 2p_k)$ for $k \in \{7, 9\}$, $34 \notin R(p_4, p_5, 2p_8)$, $111 \notin R(p_4, p_5, 2p_{10})$, and $20 \notin R(p_4, p_5, 2p_k)$ for $k = 6, 11, 12, \ldots$.

For the case $j = 6$, it is easy to verify that $80 \notin R(p_4, p_6, 2p_4)$, $20 \notin R(p_4, p_6, 2p_6)$, and $13 \notin R(p_4, p_6, 2p_k)$ for $k = 5, 7, 8, \ldots$.

When $j = 7$, we have $30 \notin R(p_4, p_7, 2p_5)$, $15 \notin R(p_4, p_7, 2p_6)$, $42 \notin R(p_4, p_7, 2p_7)$, and $14 \notin R(p_4, p_7, 2p_k)$ for $k = 4, 8, 9, \ldots$.

In the case $j = 8$, we have $15 \notin R(p_4, p_8, 2p_k)$ for $k = 4, 6$, and $13 \notin R(p_4, p_8, 2p_k)$ for $k = 5, 7, 8, \ldots$.

When $j > 8$, we have $k = 4$ by $8 \in R(p_4, p_j, 2p_k)$. Note that $n_j \notin R(p_4, p_j, 2p_4)$ for $j = 9, 10, \ldots$, where

$$n_9 = n_{15} = n_{16} = \cdots = 14,\ n_{10} = 15,\ n_{11} = n_{14} = 21,\ n_{12} = 40,\ n_{13} = 91.$$

Case 2. $b = 1$ and $c = 3$.

By $6 \in R(p_4, p_j, 3p_k)$, we have $6 \in \{0, 1, 4\} + \{0, 1, j\} + \{0, 3\}$ and hence $j \in \{5, 6\}$. It is easy to verify that $11 \notin R(p_4, p_j, 3p_k)$ for $j \in \{5, 6\}$ and $k \geqslant 4$. Note also that $21 \notin R(p_4, p_6, 3p_3)$.

Case 3. $b = 2 \leqslant c$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &(p_4, 2p_3, cp_k) \text{ is universal} \\ \iff &(p_3, p_3, cp_k) \text{ is universal} \\ \implies &k = 5 \text{ and } c \in \{2, 4\} \text{ (by Lemmas 8.1 and 8.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $j \geqslant 4$. Clearly $2p_j(3) = 6(j-1) \geqslant 18$ and

$$cp_k(3) = 3c(k-1) \geqslant \min\{6(j-1), 9(k-1)\} \geqslant 18.$$

Thus, by $[0, 15] \subseteq R(p_4, 2p_j, cp_k)$, the set

$$S = \{0, 1, 4, 9\} + \{0, 2, 2j\} + \{0, c, ck\}$$

contains $[0, 15]$. Note that $c \leqslant 5$ by $5 \in S$. If $c = 2$, then $k \geqslant j \geqslant 4$ and hence $7 \notin S$. When $c = 3$, we have $j = 4$ by $8 \in S$, hence $10 \notin S$ since $ck \geqslant 15$. If $c = 4$, then $\{12, 14\} \not\subseteq S$. When $c = 5$, we have $\{8, 10\} \not\subseteq S$.

In view of the above, we have proved Lemma 8.4. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Combining Theorems 6.1-6.2 and Lemmas 8.1-8.4 we immediately obtain the desired result. □

References

[B] B. C. Berndt, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2006.

[C] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form* $x^2 + ny^2$, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.

[D27] L. E. Dickson, *Integers represented by positive ternary quadratic forms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1927), 63–77.

[D39] L. E. Dickson, *Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers,*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1939.

[D99a] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers,* Vol. I, AMS Chelsea Publ., 1999.

[D99b] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers,* Vol. II, AMS Chelsea Publ., 1999.

[G] E. Grosswald, *Representation of Integers as Sums of Squares*, Springer, New York, 1985.

[Gu] R. K. Guy, *Every number is expressible as the sum of how many polygonal numbers?*, Amer. Math. Monthly **101** (1994), 169–172.

[GPS] S. Guo, H. Pan and Z. W. Sun, *Mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers (II)*, Integers **7** (2007), #A56, 5pp (electronic).

[JKS] W. C. Jagy, I. Kaplansky and A. Schiemann, *There are 913 regular ternary forms*, Mathematika **44** (1997), 332–341.

[JP] B. W. Jones and G. Pall, *Regular and semi-regular positive ternary quadratic forms*, Acta Math. **70** (1939), 165–191.

[K09] B. Kane, *On two conjectures about mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers*, Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory **1** (2009), 77–90.

[KS] B. Kane and Z. W. Sun, *On almost universal mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers*, preprint, arXiv:0808.2761. `http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2761`.

[OS] B.-K. Oh and Z. W. Sun, *Mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers (III)*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 964-969.

[OnoS] K. Ono and K. Soundararajan, *Ramanujan's ternary quadratic form*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), 415–454..

[N87] M. B. Nathanson, *A short proof of Cauchy's polygonal theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 22–24.

[N96] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: The Classical Bases*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 164, Springer, New York, 1996.

[S07] Z. W. Sun, *Mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers*, Acta Arith. **127** (2007), 103–113.

[S09] Z. W. Sun, *On sums of primes and triangular numbers*, Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory **1** (2009), 65–76. `http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.3737`.

[WP] X. Wang and D. Pei, *Eisenstein series of* $3/2$ *weight and one conjecture of Kaplansky*, Sci. China Ser. A **44** (2001), 1278–1283.